nature

Accelerated Article Preview

Gut-Brain Circuits for Fat Preference

Received: 9 April 2022

Accepted: 23 August 2022

Accelerated Article Preview

Cite this article as: Li, M. et al. Gut-Brain Circuits for Fat Preference. *Nature* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05266-z (2022). Mengtong Li, Hwei-Ee Tan, Zhengyuan Lu, Katherine S. Tsang, Ashley J. Chung & Charles S. Zuker

This is a PDF file of a peer-reviewed paper that has been accepted for publication. Although unedited, the content has been subjected to preliminary formatting. Nature is providing this early version of the typeset paper as a service to our authors and readers. The text and figures will undergo copyediting and a proof review before the paper is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply.

1	Gut-Brain Circuits for Fat Preference
2	
3 4	Mengtong Li ^{1,2} , Hwei-Ee Tan ¹ , Zhengyuan Lu ^{2,3} , Katherine S. Tsang ^{1,2} Ashley J. Chung ^{1,2} and Charles S. Zuker ^{1,2,4}
5	Rationine e. realig , Henry e. onang and enance e. Zaker
6 7	¹ Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular
8	Biophysics, ² Zuckerman Mind Brain and Behavior Institute, ³ Department of Biological
9 10	Sciences and "Department of Neuroscience, vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, USA.
11	
12	
13	Correspondence: cz2195@columbia.edu
14	
	\mathbf{C}

15 Summary

The perception of fat evokes strong appetitive and consummatory responses¹. 16 17 Here we show that fat stimuli can induce behavioural attraction even in the absence of a functional taste system^{2,3}. We demonstrate that fat acts post-ingestively via the gut-18 19 brain axis to drive preference for fat. Using single-cell data, we identified the vagal neurons responding to intestinal delivery of fat, and showed that genetic silencing of this 20 21 gut-to-brain circuit abolished the development of fat preference. Next, we compared the gut-to-brain pathways driving preference for fat versus sugar⁴, and uncovered two 22 23 parallel systems, one functioning as a general sensor of essential nutrients, responding 24 to intestinal stimulation with sugar, fat and amino acids, while the other is activated only 25 by fat stimuli. Lastly, we engineered animals lacking candidate receptors detecting the 26 presence of intestinal fat, and validated their role as the mediators of gut-to-brain fat-27 evoked responses. Together, these findings revealed distinct cells and receptors using the gut-brain axis as a fundamental conduit for the development of fat preference. 28 29

- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33

Developed and developing countries have experienced catastrophic increases in the consumption of processed foods high in sugar and fat⁵. These changes in dietary intake have been implicated in increases in malnutrition, including over-nutrition linked to a wide number of metabolic disorders and related comorbidities^{1,6,7}.

38 Sugar and fat are essential nutrients, and consequently, animals have evolved 39 taste signalling pathways that detect and respond to sweet and fat stimuli, leading to 40 appetitive and consummatory behaviour^{1,8}. Remarkably, mice that lack sweet taste receptors⁸ can still develop strong behavioural preference for sugar⁹. These results 41 42 suggested a taste-independent signalling pathway driving sugar preference. Indeed, we 43 recently demonstrated that the development of sugar preference is mediated by the gut 44 brain-axis, independently of the taste system⁴. Furthermore, we showed that artificial sweeteners, although capable of activating the same taste receptors as sugar on the 45 tongue^{8,10}, do not activate the gut-brain sugar circuit, and consequently do not create a 46 47 preference⁴. Together, these findings revealed a gut-to-brain, post-ingestive intestinal sugar-sensing pathway, driving craving and attraction to sugar^{4,11-14}. 48 49 Here we focus our attention on the neural basis of fat preference. We

demonstrate that fat, like sugar, uses the gut-brain axis to drive consumption. Then, we
 dissect the nature of the receptors and neuronal elements mediating the development of
 fat preference.

53 The discovery of post-ingestive mechanisms activated by foods rich in sugar and 54 fat can provide valuable strategies to modulate our sugar- and fat-craving eating habits, 55 and help combat obesity and associated disorders, including diabetes and 56 cardiovascular disease.

57

58 The development of fat preference

59 To behaviourally monitor the development of post-ingestive fat preference, we 60 presented animals with a choice between an artificial sweetener (3 mM acesulfame K, 61 AceK) and fat (1.5% Intralipid, IL) (Fig. 1a). While both stimuli are innately attractive to a 62 naive animal^{8,15} (see Extended Data Fig. 1a, b), artificial sweeteners do not trigger post-63 oral preference^{4,16}. Therefore, this fat versus sweetener test allows us to monitor the 64 emergence of fat preference, from an initial state of no-preference to its switch into a

strongly appetitive stimuli. Indeed, our results showed that, although animals initially 65 preferred the artificial sweetener (Fig. 1a, b "Pre"), within 24 h of exposure to both 66 67 choices, their preference is markedly altered, such that by 48 h, the mice drink almost exclusively from the bottle containing fat (Fig. 1a, b "Post"). This behavioural switch 68 69 illustrates the ability of fat stimuli to post-ingestively induce strong consummatory 70 responses and appetitive behaviour¹. This switch is also observed when comparing fat 71 to an equicaloric sugar (Extended Data Fig. 1e, f), showing that calories are not driving 72 the development of fat preference.

73 Recently, it was shown that the immediate attraction to fat is dependent on the 74 TRPM5 channel expressed in taste receptor cells³ (Extended Data Fig. 1c). We 75 hypothesized that if the development of fat preference is mediated via post-ingestive, 76 rather than taste-evoked signalling, it should be independent of TRPM5 function, and 77 consequently TRPM5 knockout animals should still be capable of developing 78 behavioural preference for fat. As predicted, TRPM5 mutant animals, while blind to the 79 taste of fat, still are fully capable of developing strong post-ingestive preference for fat³ 80 (Extended Data Fig. 1d).

81

82 Fat preference via the gut-brain axis

For an animal to develop a preference for fat over sweetener, it must distinguish between two innately attractive stimuli. We reasoned that if we could identify a population of brain neurons that respond selectively to the consumption of fat, it may provide an entry to reveal the neural control of fat preference and the basis for the insatiable appetite for fat.

88 We exposed separate cohorts of animals to three different lipid stimuli (Intralipid, 89 linoleic acid, or oleic acid) and to fat-free textural controls (xanthan gum or mineral oil). 90 Using Fos as a proxy for neural activity^{4,17}, we found that fat, but not control 91 stimuli, elicited strong bilateral activation of neurons in the caudal nucleus of the solitary 92 tract (cNST) in the brainstem (Fig. 1c, d, Extended Data Fig. 2a-e). The cNST is a 93 nexus of interoceptive signals conveying information from the body to the brain via the 94 gut-brain axis^{18,19}. If the fat-activated brain cNST neurons are receiving signals 95 originating in the gut, then direct delivery of fat stimuli into the gut should also induce

activation of the cNST. We implanted an intragastric catheter in the stomach⁴ and
infused either a fat solution or a vehicle control. As predicted, intragastric infusion of fat,
but not of a vehicle, is also sufficient to activate the cNST (Extended Data Fig. 2j-l).

Next, we reasoned that if the fat-activated cNST neurons are essential for creating fat preference, then blocking their function should prevent the development of fat preference. We used the targeted recombination in active populations (TRAP)²⁰ system to target Cre recombinase to fat-activated cNST neurons, and bilaterally injected an adeno-associated virus (AAV) carrying a Cre-dependent tetanus toxin light chain (TetTox)²¹ construct, so as to genetically silence synaptic transmission in the cNST neurons responding to fat (Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig. 3).

To ensure that the genetic silencing did not affect the animals' immediate attraction to fat (i.e. the taste-dependent innate attraction), they were first tested in a standard fat versus water two-bottle discrimination assay. Our results showed that the silenced mice still exhibit normal immediate attraction to fat, and were indistinguishable from controls (Extended Data Fig. 2f-i). By contrast, they were unable to develop postingestive preference for fat, even after prolonged testing sessions (Fig. 2a).

112

113 Fat and sugar activated vagal neurons

To investigate how fat signals are transferred from the gut to the brain, we infused fat stimuli into the gut, and used fibre photometry to simultaneously record neural activity in cNST neurons⁴ (Fig. 2b). Our results showed that cNST neurons are robustly activated by direct intestinal infusion of fat, with responses tracking the delivery of the stimulus (Fig. 2c, d, Extended Data Fig. 3f-i).

We and others have shown that the vagus nerve serves as a key conduit for conveying information from the gut to the brain^{4,12,13,19,22}. If the vagus nerve is required for the transmission of fat signals from the gut to the cNST, then transection of the vagus nerve should prevent the signals from reaching the brain. To test this hypothesis, we infused the gut with fat (or sugar as a control⁴) and recorded stimulus-evoked responses in the cNST. Indeed, fat-activated neural responses in the cNST were effectively abolished after bilateral vagotomy (Fig. 2c, d, Extended Data Fig. 3f-i), thus establishing the vagus nerve as the conduit for transmitting the fat signal from the gut tothe brain.

128 To directly examine, and monitor the fat responses of vagal sensory neurons, we carried out functional imaging of the nodose ganglion (which contains the cell bodies of 129 130 vagal neurons). We targeted the genetically encoded calcium indicator GCaMP6s²³ to vagal sensory neurons using Vglut2-Cre animals^{4,24,25}, and used a one-photon calcium 131 132 imaging set-up coupled to synchronous intestinal delivery of fat to record neuronal 133 responses *in vivo*⁴, with real time kinetics (Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 4a-c). To 134 administer the stimuli, a catheter was placed into the duodenal bulb, and an exit port 135 was created by transecting the intestine 10 cm distally. During each imaging session, 136 the intestine was exposed to a pre-stimulus application of PBS, a 10 s (33 ul) exposure 137 to the fat or sugar stimuli, and a 180 s post-stimulus wash (see Methods for details); this 138 regime was repeated at least 3 times for each stimulus. Using this preparation, we showed that intestinal infusion of fat (e.g. linoleic acid), but not vehicle control, evoked 139 140 robust responses in a unique subset of vagal neurons (Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 4c, 141 d); the responses were reproducible and time-locked to stimulus delivery (Fig. 2e, 142 Extended Data Fig. 4c). These neurons responded to a variety of dietary fatty acids 143 (Extended Data Fig. 4d-i), thus defining a distinct class of vagal neurons reliably 144 activated by intestinal fat stimuli.

145 Previously, we showed that intestinal application of glucose also activates a 146 subset of vagal neurons⁴, and demonstrated that these, in turn, are part of the essential gut-brain axis driving the development of sugar preference. Next, we sought to examine 147 148 how vagal neurons respond to these two nutrient signals in the gut: fat and sugar. 149 We recorded the activity of vagal neurons to alternating gut stimulation with fat 150 and sugar (10 s of 10% linoleic acid and 10 s of 500 mM glucose). Remarkably, from 151 over 1800 vagal sensory neurons examined from 22 nodoses, we identified two distinct 152 groups of vagal neurons. One group ($\sim 8\%$ of the total imaged neurons) responded to 153 both sugar and fat. The other, a non-overlapping group (also $\sim 8\%$ of the neurons), 154 responded only to fat, but not to sugar (Fig. 2f, Extended Data Fig. 5a). Notably, the 155 subset responding to sugar and fat was also activated by amino acids (Fig. 3a,

156 Extended Data Fig. 5b, c). These results defined two distinct populations of vagal

6

neurons: one, hereafter referred to as sugar/fat responders, function as sensors for all
three essential macronutrients in the gut: sugar, proteins and fat. The other, herein
referred to as fat-only, responds selectively to intestinal delivery of fat. We note that less
than one neuron per nodose was found to respond to intestinal delivery of sugar or
amino acids but not fat (Extended Data Fig. 5d), however, given such small numbers,
these were not considered further (it is likely they represent sugar/nutrient responders
with very small responses to fat).

164

165 **Fat and sugar signalling in the gut**

166 How are sugar/nutrient signals transmitted from the gut to vagal neurons? The 167 cholecystokinin (CCK)-expressing enteroendocrine cells (EECs) in the intestines have been proposed to function as the sugar-preference gut sensing cells^{11,26}. We 168 169 hypothesized that CCK may be the signal between the gut and their partner vagal 170 neurons. Hence, we examined responses of vagal neurons to intestinal application of 171 sugar, fat and amino acids, before and after pharmacologically inhibiting CCK signalling with Devazepide²⁷, a CCK-A receptor²⁸ (CCKAR) antagonist (Fig. 3a). Indeed, blocking 172 173 CCK signalling abolished all the responses of the vagal sugar/fat neurons (i.e. to 174 intestinal stimulation with sugar, fat and amino acids). In contrast, the fat-only 175 responses remained robust and reliable (Fig. 3a, b, Extended Data Fig. 6). Given these 176 results, we anticipated that application of CCK should strongly activate the nutrient 177 responding vagal neurons, but not the fat-only neurons. Our results proved both 178 predictions to be correct (Extended Data Fig. 6f). Finally, we also examined the 179 potential role of glutamate signalling¹¹ by imaging responses of vagal neurons to 180 intestinal sugar stimuli before and after addition of a mixture of L-(+)-2-Amino-3-181 phosphonopropionic acid (AP3) and kynurenic acid (KA), two glutamate receptor 182 antagonists^{29,30}. Our results demonstrated that pharmacological inhibition of glutamate-183 based signalling has no effect on the gut-to-vagal sugar/nutrient sensing circuit 184 (Extended Data Fig. 6a-d). Together, these results substantiate CCK as the transmitter 185 mediating sugar/nutrient sensing in the gut-brain axis, and further distinguishes the 186 CCK-dependent, from the CCK-independent fat-sensing gut-to-brain pathways.

187

188 Nutrient responders in the nodose

189 Given that gut sugar/fat/amino acid responders rely on CCK signalling, we 190 expected that vagal neurons receiving this gut-to-brain signal should be defined by 191 expression of CCK receptors (e.g. CCKAR; Fig. 3c). CCK is principally known as a satiety hormone, whose role is to modulate food intake by suppressing appetite^{31,32}. The 192 function of nutrient preference circuits, on the other hand, is to promote nutrient 193 194 consumption^{1,4}. Thus, we wondered how CCK can function both as a satiety hormone 195 and as a nutrient preference signal in the gut. We reasoned this conundrum could be easily resolved if a genetically distinct³³ subset of CCKAR-expressing vagal neurons 196 197 mediates nutrient preference.

198 First, we engineered Cckar-Cre mice by targeting Cre recombinase to the CCKreceptor A gene³⁴ (see Methods), and used them to functionally validate the nutrient-199 200 evoked activation of CCKAR-vagal neurons (Extended Data Fig. 7a, b). Next, we used single-cell RNA-seg data from the nodose ganglion³⁵⁻³⁷ to further characterize subsets 201 202 of CCKAR-expressing neurons, and generated Cre driver lines expressing GCaMP6s in subsets of candidate clusters. Our results showed that a unique pool of CCKAR-203 expressing vagal neurons, marked by expression of the vasoactive intestinal peptide 204 205 (VIP), labeled the nutrient responders (with only a small fraction of the fat-only neurons) (Fig. 3c, d, Extended Data Fig. 7e-g). We then further refined this cluster by removing 206 207 the small number of fat-only responding neurons (Extended Data Fig. 7c, d, g). These 208 results validate the segregation of the nutrient versus the fat-only circuit, and 209 substantiate CCK in the gut as the transmitter mediating sugar/nutrient signals.

210 An important prediction is that inhibiting signalling from the nutrient-sensing vagal 211 neurons should prevent the activation of the gut-brain axis, and consequently block the 212 development of nutrient preference. Our strategy was to genetically silence the nutrient 213 sensing vagal neurons by bilaterally injecting the nodose of Vip-Cre³⁸ mice with an AAV-Flex-TetTox⁴ construct (Fig. 4a, Extended Data Fig. 3d). As hypothesized, blocking 214 215 activity from these neurons dramatically impaired the development of nutrient 216 preference (Fig. 4b, c). Importantly, the immediate, innate attraction to sugar and fat in 217 these animals was not affected (Extended Data Fig. 8).

218 Finally, we anticipated that artificial activation of this gut-to-brain nutrient 219 preference circuit should afford the development of new preferences, in essence driving 220 appetitive responses to previously unpreferred stimuli. To test this proposal, we 221 bilaterally injected the nodose of *Vip-Cre* mice with a Cre-dependent AAV virus encoding the excitatory designer receptor hM3Dg³⁹, such that nutrient responding 222 neurons could be experimentally activated by the DREADD agonist clozapine⁴⁰. After 223 224 allowing expression of DREADD (Extended Data Fig. 3e), animals were exposed to a 225 preference assay using cherry- versus a grape-flavoured solution (Fig. 4d), and to 226 enhance attraction of these novel flavours, both solutions were spiked with an artificial 227 sweetener (see Methods). Next, we established a baseline preference for each animal 228 (i.e. grape vs cherry), introduced clozapine into the less-preferred flavour, and 229 investigated whether clozapine-mediated activation of the nutrient sensing neurons can 230 create a new preference. Indeed, after 48 h of exposure to both solutions all of the 231 animals markedly switched their preference to the clozapine containing flavour. By 232 contrast, mice without the designer receptor did not develop a new preference, and if 233 anything, were slightly averse to the DREADD activator (Fig. 4e). These results 234 illustrate how non-natural activation of this gut-brain sugar/nutrient sensing circuit can 235 drive the development of a novel preference.

236

237 Fat-only responders in the nodose

238 What about the identity of vagal neurons mediating the fat-only signals? Using the single-cell RNA-seg atlas from the nodose ganglion³⁵⁻³⁷, we searched for vagal 239 240 neurons that did not express VIP (as the sugar/fat/amino acid sensing marker), and 241 identified 5 minimally overlapping candidate clusters (Fig. 5a): *Trpa1* (transient receptor 242 potential ankyrin 1), Gpr65 (G Protein-Coupled Receptor 65), Piezo2 (Piezo Type 243 Mechanosensitive Ion Channel Component 2), Calca (Calcitonin Related Polypeptide 244 Alpha), and Oxtr (Oxytocin Receptor). We engineered Trpa1-Cre mice using the 245 CRISPR/Cas9 system (Extended Data Fig. 9a, and also see Methods), and obtained 246 Cre driver lines for the other 4 candidates. Our results (Fig. 5b), demonstrated that the 247 TRPA1-expressing vagal cluster selectively responds to intestinal delivery of fat, but not 248 sugar or amino acid stimuli, thus defining the fat-only responders. Vagal neurons

expressing GCaMP6s in *Gpr65-Cre*, *Piezo2-Cre*, *Calca-Cre*, or *Oxtr-Cre* were
unresponsive to intestinal delivery of sugar or fat stimuli (Extended Data Fig. 9b-e).

251 Next, we reasoned that genetic silencing of the fat-only circuit (i.e. TRPA1-252 expressing vagal neurons) may abolish the development of fat preference, but should 253 have no impact on the development of sugar preference. Thus, we bilaterally injected 254 the nodose of Trpa1-Cre mice with an AAV-Flex-TetTox construct to silence the fat-only 255 vagal neurons, and tested the animals for sugar- versus fat preference. Indeed, after 256 genetic silencing, these mice can no longer develop post-ingestive preference for fat 257 stimuli, but retain their capacity to develop post-ingestive preference for sugar (Fig. 5c). 258 Importantly, their immediate attraction to fat is unaffected (Extended Data Fig. 8) 259 Together, these results reveal the identity of the neurons mediating fat-only signals, and 260 uncover their essential role in the gut-to-brain circuit mediating fat preference (see 261 discussion).

262

263 Sugar and fat sensors in the gut

Previously, we used pharmacological experiments to demonstrate that the sodium–glucose-linked transporter-1 (SGLT1) functions as the gut receptor recognizing glucose and transmitting the post-ingestive⁴¹, gut-to-brain sugar signals⁴. Here, we extend the specificity of these findings by generating SGLT1 knockout animals and examining their responses to intestinal stimulation with sugar and fat (Fig. 6a). The data shown in Figure 6 demonstrates that all vagal responses to intestinal delivery of sugar are abolished. In contrast, responses to fat stimuli are unaffected.

271 We expected that the development of fat preference should depend on specific 272 fat receptors expressed on the surface of intestinal EECs⁴². Dietary fat, once ingested 273 and digested, is thought to be sensed by a number of putative gut receptors, including the fatty acid translocase CD3643,44 and the G protein-coupled receptors GPR4045 and 274 275 GPR120^{46,47}. We anticipated that one or more of these receptors may be used to 276 transmit fat preference⁴⁶ via the gut-brain axis. Therefore, we used CRISPR-Cas9 to 277 generate animals harbouring knockouts of CD36, GPR40, and GPR120 in all possible 278 knockout combinations (single, double, and triple mutants, Extended Data Fig. 9f; see 279 Methods for details).

A key prediction would be that loss of the essential receptor(s) should abolish vagal responses to intestinal stimulation with fat, thus defining the intestinal sensors for the gut-to-brain fat signals.

Because of the intricacies of breeding such a wide range of knockout combinations, and the need to introduce the GCaMP6s reporter for functional imaging into the various genetic backgrounds, we chose to use a direct fusion of GCaMP6s to Snap25 regulatory sequences⁴⁸ rather than crossing-in a Cre-driver construct and a Cre-dependent GCaMP reporter. Our results showed that the Snap25-GCaMP6s construct is well expressed in vagal neurons, and compares favourably with our studies using other driver lines (Extended Data Fig. 9g, h).

290 After testing all the fat receptor-deletion combinations (Fig. 6c, d, Extended Data 291 Fig. 10a-f), we found that GPR40 and GPR120 are the essential mediators of intestinal 292 fat signals to the vagal neurons. As expected, vagal neurons responding to sugar were 293 unaffected in all of the mutant combinations (Extended Data Fig. 10a-g). Importantly, all 294 fat responses, from both the fat-only and from the sugar/fat/amino acid sensing vagal neurons, were abolished in the GPR40/GPR120 knockout animals, demonstrating that 295 296 the same receptors are used in both gut-to-brain signalling pathways (i.e. CCK-297 independent and CCK-dependent, respectively).

298 An expectation from these imaging results is that GPR40/GPR120 double 299 knockout animals (as well as the triple knockout) should fail to develop preference for 300 fat⁴⁶, while the various single and other double mutants should be unaffected. We note, 301 however, that these are global knockouts, rather than conditional knockouts. Notably, 302 GPR40, GPR120 and CD36 single mutants, as well as GPR40/CD36 and 303 GPR120/CD36 double mutants are indistinguishable from control wild type mice (Fig. 304 6e, right panel). By contrast, the GPR40/GPR120 double (and the triple mutants) are no 305 longer capable of developing behavioural preference for fat (Fig. 6e, left panel). Significantly, the innate responses to fat stimuli were unaffected in the GPR40/GPR120 306 307 double and triple mutants, with the animals exhibiting strong immediate attraction to fat, 308 illustrating the fundamental difference between the taste and the gut-brain pathways 309 (Extended Data Fig. 10h). Just like control mice, fat receptor knockouts develop normal 310 preference for sugar⁴⁶ (Fig. 6f). Together, these results substantiate GPR40 and

GPR120 as the essential receptors signalling the presence of intestinal fat via the gut-brain axis.

313

314 **Discussion**

Sugar and fat are indispensable nutrients, and it would be expected that dedicated circuits drive their consumption^{1,4,13}. We have shown that in addition to the taste system, these nutrients rely on a dedicated gut-to-brain system to detect and report the presence of intestinal sugar and fat to the brain.

319 Here, we demonstrate the fundamental role of these nutrient-sensing circuits by 320 showing that genetic or pharmacological blockade of sugar and fat gut-to-brain signals, 321 at any of the 4 stages following ingestion, abolished the development of nutrient 322 preference: (1) by preventing sugar or fat binding to their corresponding intestinal 323 receptors, (2) by blocking the activated gut cells from signaling to the vagal neurons, (3) 324 by silencing the sugar- or fat-activated vagal neurons, and preventing the transfer of 325 their signals to the brain, and (4) finally, by preventing the cNST neurons that receive 326 the gut-brain signals from broadcasting the presence of intestinal sugar or fat to the rest 327 of the brain.

328 An unexpected finding from these studies was the discovery of a single gut-to-329 brain pathway, based on CCK signalling, that functions as a generalist detector 330 informing the brain of the intestinal presence of any of the three essential nutrients: 331 sugar, fat and amino acids. Although each nutrient uses its own dedicated receptors in 332 the gut, the convergence of the signal into a unique class of vagal neurons (VIP/UTS2b) 333 highlights the simple and elegant logic of this circuit: after the gut cells are activated, the 334 circuit does not need to preserve the identity of the specific nutrient stimulus, only to 335 ensure that the emerging gut-brain message signal trigger behavioral preference⁴. 336 Given that CCK functions as the signalling molecule in the gut for the sugar/nutrient 337 sensing pathway, we anticipate that there would be a unique subset of intestinal CCK-338 positive EECs that co-express the sugar (SGLT1) and the fat (GPR40 and GPR120) 339 preference receptors (the nature of the amino acid receptor is not yet known). 340 Interestingly, examination of single-cell RNA atlases from both rodent and human gut tissue suggests this is likely the case^{33,49}. Future studies should help define this 341

subtype of CCK enteroendocrine cell that uses CCK as a transmitter (rather than as a
gut neuromodulator or hormone) to activate the gut-brain axis, and report the presence
of intestinal sugar, fat and amino acid nutrients.

345 Our results also uncovered two separate gut-brain circuits for intestinal fat 346 sensing (i.e. the fat-only and the sugar/fat/amino acid vagal pathways), yet both utilize 347 the same receptors, GPR40 and GPR120, for driving the development of fat preference. 348 Surprisingly, silencing either circuit is sufficient to abolish preference for fat, 349 demonstrating that both are indispensable for the development of fat preference. Thus, 350 activating the fat intestinal receptors only in the CCK-dependent pathway, or only in the 351 CCK-independent (fat-only) pathway, is not sufficient on their own to trigger fat 352 preference. Indeed, we measured cNST signals activated solely by the fat-only 353 pathway, and they are about 50% of the signal detected when both fat preference 354 pathways are active (see Extended Data Fig. 6g-i).

Given the essential role of sugar and fat in a healthy diet, and the importance of these gut-brain pathways in sugar and fat consumption (and most likely overconsumption), it will be of great interest to determine the brain targets for each, and compare and contrast their logic.

Finally, the identification of these gut receptors and gut-brain communication lines could help provide novel strategies to moderate the insatiable appetite for fat and sugar. Additionally, they clarify the fundamental difference between "liking" and "wanting"⁵⁰. Liking sweet and liking fat (i.e. the innate attraction to these appetitive stimuli), is the result of activation of the taste system. Wanting sugar and fat, on the other hand, is the gut-brain axis.

- 365
- 366
- 367
- 368

369

- 370
- 371
- 372

373 **References**

- 374
- 375 1. Berthoud, H. R., Morrison, C. D., Ackroff, K. & Sclafani, A. Learning of food
- 376 preferences: mechanisms and implications for obesity & metabolic diseases. *Int J*
- 377 Obes (Lond) **45**, 2156-2168, (2021).
- Zhang, Y. *et al.* Coding of sweet, bitter, and umami tastes: different receptor cells
 sharing similar signaling pathways. *Cell* **112**, 293-301, (2003).
- Sclafani, A. & Ackroff, K. Fat preference deficits and experience-induced
 recovery in global taste-deficient Trpm5 and Calhm1 knockout mice. *Physiol Behav* 246, 113695, (2022).
- 383 4. Tan, H. E. *et al.* The gut-brain axis mediates sugar preference. *Nature* 580, 511384 516, (2020).
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)
 ">https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/FBS> (2020).
- Hu, F. B., van Dam, R. M. & Liu, S. Diet and risk of Type II diabetes: the role of
 types of fat and carbohydrate. *Diabetologia* 44, 805-817, (2001).
- 389 7. Smilowitz, J. T., German, J. B. & Zivkovic, A. M. Food Intake and Obesity: The
 390 Case of Fat. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* (eds J. P. Montmayeur & J. le Coutre)
 391 (2010).
- 392 8. Zhao, G. Q. *et al.* The receptors for mammalian sweet and umami taste. *Cell*393 **115**, 255-266, (2003).
- Sclafani, A., Marambaud, P. & Ackroff, K. Sucrose-conditioned flavor preferences
 in sweet ageusic T1r3 and Calhm1 knockout mice. *Physiol Behav* **126**, 25-29,
 (2014).
- 397 10. Nelson, G. et al. Mammalian sweet taste receptors. Cell 106, 381-390, (2001).
- 398 11. Buchanan, K. L. *et al.* The preference for sugar over sweetener depends on a gut
 399 sensor cell. *Nat Neurosci* 25, 191-200, (2022).
- 400 12. Han, W. *et al.* A Neural Circuit for Gut-Induced Reward. *Cell* 175, 887-888,
 401 (2018).

402 13. Goldstein, N. *et al.* Hypothalamic detection of macronutrients via multiple gut403 brain pathways. *Cell Metab* **33**, 676-687 e675, (2021).

404	14.	Su, Z., Alhadeff, A. L. & Betley, J. N. Nutritive, Post-ingestive Signals Are the
405		Primary Regulators of AgRP Neuron Activity. <i>Cell Rep</i> 21 , 2724-2736, (2017).
406	15.	Yoneda, T. <i>et al.</i> The palatability of corn oil and linoleic acid to mice as measured
407		by short-term two-bottle choice and licking tests. <i>Physiol Behav</i> 91 , 304-309,
408		(2007).
409	16.	Sclafani, A., Zukerman, S. & Ackroff, K. Postoral glucose sensing, not caloric
410		content, determines sugar reward in C57BL/6J mice. Chem Senses 40, 245-258,
411		(2015).
412	17.	Sheng, M. & Greenberg, M. E. The regulation and function of c-fos and other
413		immediate early genes in the nervous system. <i>Neuron</i> 4 , 477-485, (1990).
414	18.	Berthoud, H. R. & Neuhuber, W. L. Functional and chemical anatomy of the
415		afferent vagal system. <i>Auton Neurosci</i> 85 , 1-17, (2000).
416	19.	Prescott, S. L. & Liberles, S. D. Internal senses of the vagus nerve. Neuron,
417		(2022).
418	20.	Guenthner, C. J., Miyamichi, K., Yang, H. H., Heller, H. C. & Luo, L. Permanent
419		genetic access to transiently active neurons via TRAP: targeted recombination in
420		active populations. <i>Neuron</i> 78 , 773-784, (2013).
421	21.	Yamamoto, M. et al. Reversible suppression of glutamatergic neurotransmission
422		of cerebellar granule cells in vivo by genetically manipulated expression of
423		tetanus neurotoxin light chain. <i>J Neurosci</i> 23 , 6759-6767, (2003).
424	22.	Williams, E. K. et al. Sensory Neurons that Detect Stretch and Nutrients in the
425		Digestive System. <i>Cell</i> 166 , 209-221, (2016).
426	23.	Chen, T. W. et al. Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal
427		activity. <i>Nature</i> 499 , 295-300, (2013).
428	24.	Chang, R. B., Strochlic, D. E., Williams, E. K., Umans, B. D. & Liberles, S. D.
429		Vagal Sensory Neuron Subtypes that Differentially Control Breathing. Cell 161,
430	\mathbf{C}	622-633, (2015).
431	25.	Raab, M. & Neuhuber, W. L. Glutamatergic functions of primary afferent neurons
432		with special emphasis on vagal afferents. Int Rev Cytol 256, 223-275, (2007).
433	26.	Kaelberer, M. M. et al. A gut-brain neural circuit for nutrient sensory transduction.
434		<i>Science</i> 361 , (2018).

- 435 27. Chang, R. S. & Lotti, V. J. Biochemical and pharmacological characterization of
 436 an extremely potent and selective nonpeptide cholecystokinin antagonist. *Proc*437 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 83, 4923-4926, (1986).
- 438 28. Dufresne, M., Seva, C. & Fourmy, D. Cholecystokinin and gastrin receptors.
 439 *Physiol Rev* 86, 805-847, (2006).
- 440 29. Hansen, K. B. *et al.* Structure, Function, and Pharmacology of Glutamate
 441 Receptor Ion Channels. *Pharmacol Rev* 73, 298-487, (2021).
- 30. Niswender, C. M. & Conn, P. J. Metabotropic glutamate receptors: physiology,
 pharmacology, and disease. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **50**, 295-322, (2010).
- Gibbs, J., Young, R. C. & Smith, G. P. Cholecystokinin decreases food intake in
 rats. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* 84, 488-495, (1973).
- 446 32. Liddle, R. A. Cholecystokinin cells. Annu Rev Physiol 59, 221-242, (1997).
- 33. Bai, L. *et al.* Enteroendocrine cell types that drive food reward and aversion. *bioRxiv*, (2021).
- 449 34. Lacourse, K. A., Lay, J. M., Swanberg, L. J., Jenkins, C. & Samuelson, L. C.
 450 Molecular structure of the mouse CCK-A receptor gene. *Biochem Biophys Res*451 *Commun* 236, 630-635, (1997).
- 452 35. Kupari, J., Haring, M., Agirre, E., Castelo-Branco, G. & Ernfors, P. An Atlas of
 453 Vagal Sensory Neurons and Their Molecular Specialization. *Cell Rep* 27, 2508454 2523 e2504, (2019).
- 455 36. Prescott, S. L., Umans, B. D., Williams, E. K., Brust, R. D. & Liberles, S. D. An
 456 Airway Protection Program Revealed by Sweeping Genetic Control of Vagal
 457 Afferents. *Cell* 181, 574-589 e514, (2020).
- 458 37. Bai, L. *et al.* Genetic Identification of Vagal Sensory Neurons That Control
 459 Feeding. *Cell* **179**, 1129-1143 e1123, (2019).
- 460 38. Taniguchi, H. *et al.* A resource of Cre driver lines for genetic targeting of
 461 GABAergic neurons in cerebral cortex. *Neuron* **71**, 995-1013, (2011).
- 462 39. Armbruster, B. N., Li, X., Pausch, M. H., Herlitze, S. & Roth, B. L. Evolving the
 463 lock to fit the key to create a family of G protein-coupled receptors potently
 464 activated by an inert ligand. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 5163-5168, (2007).

- 465 40. Gomez, J. L. et al. Chemogenetics revealed: DREADD occupancy and activation via converted clozapine. Science 357, 503-507, (2017). 466 467 41. Sclafani, A., Koepsell, H. & Ackroff, K. SGLT1 sugar transporter/sensor is 468 required for post-oral glucose appetition. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp 469 Physiol 310, R631-639, (2016). Gribble, F. M. & Reimann, F. Enteroendocrine Cells: Chemosensors in the 470 42. 471 Intestinal Epithelium. Annu Rev Physiol 78, 277-299, (2016). 472 43. Schwartz, G. J. et al. The lipid messenger OEA links dietary fat intake to satiety. 473 *Cell Metab* **8**, 281-288, (2008). 474 44. Pepino, M. Y., Kuda, O., Samovski, D. & Abumrad, N. A. Structure-function of 475 CD36 and importance of fatty acid signal transduction in fat metabolism. Annu 476 *Rev Nutr* **34**, 281-303, (2014). 477 45. Edfalk, S., Steneberg, P. & Edlund, H. Gpr40 is expressed in enteroendocrine cells and mediates free fatty acid stimulation of incretin secretion. Diabetes 57, 478 479 2280-2287, (2008). 46. Sclafani, A., Zukerman, S. & Ackroff, K. GPR40 and GPR120 fatty acid sensors 480 are critical for postoral but not oral mediation of fat preferences in the mouse. Am 481 482 J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 305, R1490-1497, (2013). 483 47. Hirasawa, A. et al. Free fatty acids regulate gut incretin glucagon-like peptide-1 484 secretion through GPR120. Nat Med 11, 90-94, (2005). 485 48. Madisen, L. et al. Transgenic mice for intersectional targeting of neural sensors and effectors with high specificity and performance. Neuron 85, 942-958, (2015). 486 49. 487 Haber, A. L. et al. A single-cell survey of the small intestinal epithelium. Nature **551**, 333-339, (2017). 488 489 50. de Araujo, I. E., Schatzker, M. & Small, D. M. Rethinking Food Reward. Annu 490 Rev Psychol 71, 139-164, (2020). 491 492 493 494
 - 495

496 Figure legends

497

498 Figure 1: The development of fat preference

499 a, Cartoon on the left illustrates the behavioural arena; mice were allowed to 500 choose between a fat emulsion (1.5% Intralipid, IL) and an artificial sweetener (3mM 501 AceK). Preference was tracked by electronic lick counters in each port. The graph 502 shows cumulative licks for each bottle over the 48 h session. The colour bars at the top 503 show lick rasters for fat (red) and sweet (blue) from the first and last 2000 licks of the 504 behavioural test. Note that by 24 h the animals begin to drink almost exclusively from 505 the fat bottle (red trace). **b**, Preference plots for fat versus sweet. In these experiments, 506 mice began the preference test preferring sweet (preference index < 0.5), but in all 507 cases they switched their preference to fat (n=7 mice, two-tailed paired t-test, $P=1.9\times10^{-1}$ ⁵). **c**, Schematic of fat stimulation of Fos induction. Strong Fos labelling is observed in 508 509 the cNST (highlighted yellow) upon ingestion of Intralipid (20%) but not control stimuli 510 (0.3% xanthan gum). Scale bars, 100 µm. d. Quantification of Fos-positive neurons. The equivalent area of the cNST (200 µm x 200 µm; Bregma -7.5 mm) was processed, and 511 512 positive neurons were counted for the different stimuli. Two-sided Mann–Whitney U-test 513 between xanthan gum (XG) and Intralipid (IL) (n=5 mice), $P=7.9\times10^{-3}$. Values are mean 514 ± s.e.m.

515

516 Figure 2: Fat preference is mediated by the gut-brain axis

517 **a**, Left panel: Schematic for silencing fat-stimulated cNST neurons. A TetTox 518 virus was targeted bilaterally to the cNST of TRAP2 mice for silencing. Right Panel: The 519 bars graphs show the fraction of Acek versus Intralipid (IL) consumption, after the 48 h 520 preference test, in control (*n*=10) versus TetTox mice (*n*=9). Two-sided Mann–Whitney U-test for fat, P=1.4x10⁻³ (total volume consumed: control 9.9±2.3 ml, TetTox 8.3±2.1 521 522 ml). Control animals developed a strong preference for Intralipid versus sweetener. In 523 contrast, animals in which fat-activated cNST neurons were silenced no longer exhibit a 524 preference for fat over sweetener. Values are mean ± s.e.m. **b**, Fiber photometry was 525 used to monitor activity in cNST neurons in response to intestinal delivery of fat. c, Neural responses following 10 s intestinal delivery of fat (10% linoleic acid, LA) or 526

527 control sugar (500mM glucose, Glu). The solid trace is the average and the shaded 528 area represents s.e.m. Responses after bilateral vagotomy are shown in green. n=6 529 mice. NR, normalized response. Note total loss of responses following bilateral 530 vagotomy⁴. **d**, Quantification of neural responses pre- and post-vagotomy. Two-tailed 531 paired t-test, P=4.6x10⁻⁸ (sugar), P=4.9x10⁻⁸ (fat). Values are mean ± s.e.m. e, Imaging 532 of calcium responses in vagal neurons while delivering stimuli into the intestines. Heat 533 maps depict z-score-normalized fluorescence traces from vagal neurons identified as fat 534 responders (n=84/515 cells from 8 ganglia). Each row represents the average activity of 535 a single cell to four trials. Stimulus window (10 s) is shown by dotted white lines. Note 536 strong responses to intestinal delivery of fat but not to control stimuli. Control, 0.1% 537 xanthan gum plus 0.05% Tween 80; fat, 10% linoleic acid (LA). Shown below are sample traces of responses to alternating 10 s pulses of control (XG) and fat stimuli 538 539 (LA). f, Heat maps depict z-score-normalized responses to interleaved 10 s stimuli of 540 fat (10% linoleic acid, LA) and sugar (500mM glucose, Glu). Each row represents the 541 average activity of a different neuron during three exposures to the stimulus. The upper 542 panels show 151 neurons that responded to intestinal application of both fat and 543 glucose. The bottom panel shows a different pool of 153 neurons that responded only to 544 fat. n=22 vagal ganglia, 1813 neurons were imaged.

545

546 Figure 3: Nutrients engage gut-to-vagal CCK-mediated signaling

547 **a**, Imaging of calcium responses in vagal sensory neurons⁴ while delivering fat, 548 sugar, or amino acid stimuli into the intestines (10% linoleic acid, 500mM glucose or a 549 250mM amino acid mixture; see Methods). Heat maps depict z-score-normalized 550 fluorescence traces of sugar/nutrient responders (top) and fat-only responders (bottom) 551 from 641 neurons of 8 mice, before application of CCKAR blocker (PRE). Stimulus 552 window (10 s for fat or sugar, 60 s for amino acids) is shown by dashed white lines. b, 553 POST, to inhibit CCK signalling, we applied devazepide (4 mg/kg, 200 uL)¹¹, a CCKAR 554 antagonist²⁸ (see Methods for details). Note that blocking CCKAR receptor activation 555 abolishes sugar-, fat- and amino acid-evoked activity in nearly all the nutrient 556 responders (compare POST vs PRE, top panels). By contrast, the CCKAR blocker had 557 no effect on the fat-evoked activity in the fat-only responders (bottom panels). See

558 Extended Data Fig. 6 for results using glutamate receptors blockers. c, Cartoon of the 559 gut-to-brain sugar/nutrient sensing vagal axis. The bottom inset illustrates CCK-560 expressing enteroendocrine cells (EEC) in the intestines. The top inset is a two-561 dimensional t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding (tSNE) plot of the 562 transcriptome of mouse vagal nodose neurons³⁷. Shown in red are the clusters 563 expressing CCKAR, and shown in green is the VIP-expressing cluster (see Methods). d, 564 Calcium responses in vagal ganglia of animals expressing GCaMP6s in VIP neurons 565 while infusing fat, sugar or amino acids stimuli into the intestines. Heat maps show z-566 score-normalized fluorescence traces. Approximately 30% of VIP vagal neurons 567 responded to nutrient stimuli (*n*=60/203 neurons from 9 ganglia), but only a very small 568 fraction responded to fat (~4%) responded to fat. Stimuli: 10% linoleic acid, 500mM 569 glucose, 250mM amino acid mixture.

570

571 Figure 4: VIP vagal neurons convey sugar/nutrient preference

572 a, Silencing VIP neurons in the vagal ganglia by bilateral injection of AAV-DIO-TetTox into the nodose in *Vip-Cre* animals. **b**,**c**, Fat and sugar preference tests for 573 574 control mice and mice with silenced VIP-expressing vagal neurons (VIP-Tx). b, Control 575 mice develop strong preference for fat during a standard 48 h fat vs. sweetener test (n=7). In contrast, silencing of VIP vagal neurons abolishes the development of fat 576 577 preference (*n*=8, VIP-Tx mice). Two-sided Mann–Whitney U-test, control vs. VIP-Tx fat consumption, $P=3x10^{-4}$. c, Silencing of VIP vagal neurons also abolishes the 578 579 development of sugar preference. Control (n=7) versus silenced animals (n=8). Two-580 sided Mann–Whitney U-test, control vs. VIP-Tx sugar consumption, $P = 6 \times 10^{-4}$. Values 581 are mean ± s.e.m. d, Strategy for chemogenetic activation of VIP vagal neurons. An 582 excitatory DREADD receptor (via AAV-DIO-hM3Dq) was targeted bilaterally to the 583 nodose of *Vip-Cre* mice. The mice were then tested for their basal preference to cherry 584 and grape flavour (Pre). Mice were conditioned and tested using the less-preferred 585 flavour plus the DREADD agonist clozapine (Post; see Methods). e, Left panel: Control 586 animals (not expressing DREADD) presented with clozapine (5 mg/L) in the less 587 preferred flavour do not switch their preference, and maintain their basal, original flavour choice (*n*=8 mice; two-tailed paired t-test, *P*=0.061). Right panel: After associating 588

- 589 clozapine-mediated activation of VIP vagal neurons with the less-preferred flavour, all
- 590 the animals expressing DREADD switched their preference (*n*=6 mice; two-tailed paired
- 591 t-test, $P=9.6 \times 10^{-4}$). Preference Index values are mean ± s.e.m.
- 592

593 Figure 5: TRPA1 vagal neurons mediate fat-specific preference

594 a, Single-cell RNA-seq atlas of nodose ganglia³⁷, showing vagal clusters for VIP 595 (blue), Trpa1 (red), Gpr65 (orange), CALCA (green), Oxtr (bown) and Piezo2 (purple). 596 b, The vagal cluster expressing TRPA1 (Trpa1-GCaMP6s) responded selectively to 597 intestinal delivery of fat, but not sugar or amino acid stimuli. The heat maps show z-598 score-normalized fluorescence traces. Of 163 imaged neurons from 5 ganglia, ~24% 599 responded to fat. Stimuli: 10% linoleic acid, 500mM glucose, 250mM amino acid 600 mixture. See Extended Data Fig. 9 for imaging results for the other vagal clusters. c, 601 Strategy for silencing of TRPA1 neurons in the vagal ganglia by bilateral injection of 602 AAV-DIO-TetTox into the nodose in *Trpa1-Cre* animals. Shown are fat and sugar 603 preference tests for control mice and mice with silenced TRPA1-expressing vagal 604 neurons (Trpa1-Tx). Control mice develop strong preference for fat and sugar after 48 h 605 (n=7; left panel). In contrast, silencing of TRPA1 vagal neurons abolishes the development of fat but not sugar preference (n=6, right panel). Two-sided Mann-606 607 Whitney U-test, control vs. Trpa1-Tx for sugar, P=0.23; control vs. Trpa1-Tx for fat, P 608 = 1.1×10^{-3} . Values are mean \pm s.e.m.

609

610 Figure 6: Intestinal GPR40 and GPR120 fat receptors activate the gut-brain axis

611 a, We engineered knockout mice for 3 candidate gut fat receptors, and generated 612 all potential knockout combinations. We then recorded vagal responses to intestinal 613 delivery of fat (10% LA) and sugar (500mM glucose), and tested for the development of 614 fat and sugar preference. **b**, Heat maps depict z-score-normalized fluorescence traces 615 from vagal neurons of SGLT1 KO animals in response to intestinal delivery of fat (10% 616 LA) and sugar (500mM glucose). As previously shown, SGLT1 functions as the gut-to-617 brain sugar receptor⁴, and no vagal neurons responded to sugar in the knockout 618 animals. However, responses to fat are unaffected (n=174/903 imaged neurons from 10 ganglia). c, Heat maps illustrating the selective loss of fat responses in the 619

620 GPR40/GPR120 double knockout (n=51/428 imaged neurons from 6 ganglia) and the triple knockout (*n*=44/326 imaged neurons from 6 ganglia). Note normal responses to 621 622 intestinal delivery of sugar in these knockout animals. See Extended Data Fig. 10 for 623 imaging results for the other knockout lines. **d**, Bar graphs comparing vagal neurons 624 responding to intestinal delivery of fat (10% LA) in control versus the various receptor 625 knockout combinations (see Methods). Vagal responses were dramatically impacted 626 only in the GPR40/GPR120 double knockout (R40/120, *n*=7, *P*=5x10⁻⁶) and in the triple 627 knockout animals (3KO, *n*=6, *P*=4x10⁻⁶). Values are mean ± s.e.m.; Statistics are shown 628 in Methods. e. Knockout animals were tested for the development of fat preference. 629 GPR40/GPR120 double knockouts (*n*=7 mice, *P*=0.81) and CD36/GPR40/GPR120 630 triple knockouts (n=9 mice, P=0.46) do not develop a preference for fat. Open bars: 631 initial preference; red bars: preference at the end of the 48 h test. All other combinations 632 of knockouts are capable of developing behavioural preference for fat, just like control 633 wild-type mice (Statistics are shown in Methods). Values are mean ± s.e.m. f, As 634 expected, GPR40/GPR120 knockouts still develop preference for sugar. Wild type=10 mice, $P=2.9 \times 10^{-5}$; R40/120=9 mice, $P=8.0 \times 10^{-5}$; 3KO=7 mice, $P=1.9 \times 10^{-3}$. values are 635 636 mean ± s.e.m. (Statistics are shown in Methods).

637

638 Online Methods

639

640 Animals

641 All procedures were carried out in accordance with the US National Institutes of 642 Health (NIH) guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals, and were approved 643 by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Columbia University. Adult 644 animals older than 6 weeks of age and from both genders were used in all experiments. 645 C57BL/6J (JAX 000664), TRAP2 (JAX 030323), TRPM5 KO (JAX 013068), Ai96 (JAX 028866), Ai162 (JAX 031562), VGlut2-IRES-Cre (JAX 028863), Gpr65-IRES-Cre (JAX 646 647 029282), Vip-IRES-Cre (JAX 010908); Uts2b-Cre (JAX 035452); Piezo2-Cre (JAX 648 027719); Oxtr-Cre (JAX 031303); Calca-Cre (JAX 033168); Snap25-2A-GCaMP6s (JAX 649 025111), Penk-IRES2-Cre (JAX 025112).

650

651 Generation of genetically modified mice

652 To engineer *Trpa1-IRES-Cre* knock-in animals⁵¹, an sgRNA (targeting 653 CACAGAACTAAAAGTCCGGG) was selected to introduce an IRES-Cre construct 654 immediately downstream of the endogenous Trpa1 stop codon. A single-stranded DNA 655 donor containing gene-specific homology arms (150bp each) and the IRES-Cre 656 fragment (Addgene #61574) was generated using the Guide-it Long ssDNA Production 657 System (Takara Bio). Cas9 protein (100 ng/µL), sgRNA (20 ng/µL), and ssDNA donor 658 $(10 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L})$ were co-injected into the pronuclei of fertilised zygotes from B6CBAF1/J 659 parents. Founder pups were screened for the presence of the knock-in allele using PCR, and candidates were validated by Sanger sequencing. 660 661 SGLT1 knockout mice were generated by co-injecting Cas9 mRNA (100 ng/µl) with sgRNA (50 ng/ul) targeting CGCATTGCGAATGCGCTCGT, resulting in a 662 663 frameshift after the 20th residue and early termination after the 27th residue (wild type

SGLT1 is a 665 aa protein). Homozygous SGLT1 KO mice were bred and maintained
on fructose-based rodent diet with no sucrose or cornstarch (Research Diets
#D08040105). The mutant allele was validated by DNA sequencing.

To generate knockout mice for fat receptors (CD36, GPR40, GPR120), Cas9 protein (50 ng/ul) was co-injected with a total of 6 sgRNAs (7 ng/ul each: CD36:

669 AAATATAACTCAGGACCCCG, TAGGATATGGAACCAAACTG; GPR40:

670 AGTGAGTCGCAGTTTAGCGT, GAAGTTAGGACTCATCACAG; GPR120:

671 CGACGCTCAACACCAACCGG, ACGCGGAACAAGATGCAGAG). The founder mice

672 were validated by DNA sequencing, and used to generate various homozygous

673 knockout mice (i.e., single, double, triple knockouts). All mutations in the individual

674 homozygous lines were validated by DNA sequencing.

To engineer transgenic animals expressing Cre recombinase from the Cckar gene (i.e. *Cckar-Cre* animals), a CRE cassette was introduced at the ATG start codon of the CCKAR gene using a 151 Kb BAC (RP23-50P5) carrying the CCKAR gene, as described previously⁵².

- 679
- 680
- 681

682 **Fos stimulation and histology**

683 Stimuli consisted of 20% Intralipid (sc215182, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), 10% 684 linoleic acid, 10% oleic acid, 0.3% xanthan gum, or 10% mineral oil. Stimuli were 685 emulsified by dilution into milliQ water containing 0.1% xanthan gum and 0.05% Tween 686 80, and vortexed for a minimum of 10 min. Note that we used high concentration of IL 687 for cFos and Trap2-labeling experiments to ensure enough IL is consumed and 688 digested during the 90 min stimulation window. In contrast, when performing 48 h 689 behavioral tests examining the development of fat preference, a lower concentration of 690 1.5% IL was used, particularly to ensure that the fat and the AceK (3 mM) are similarly 691 attractive.

692 To motivate drinking behavior during the 90 min cFos induction experiments, C57BL/6J mice were water restricted for 23 h, given access to 1 ml of water for 1 h, and 693 694 then water restricted again for another 23 h. Previously, we showed that such water 695 restriction prior to the 90 min drinking test did not affect the selectivity of cNST labeling⁴ 696 (e.g. no labeling in response to water or AceK; see also Extended Data Fig. 2). Animals 697 had the full complement of food during water restriction (this is essential during Fos 698 labelling experiments as food restriction would activate a wide range of additional 699 circuits, including food-reward circuits upon presentation of sugar or fat stimuli). All Fos 700 experiments consisted of 90 min of exposure to the stimuli; mice were housed 701 individually and all the nesting material and food was removed from their cages. After 702 90 min, mice were perfused transcardially with PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. 703 Brains were dissected and fixed overnight in paraformaldehyde at 4 °C. The brains were 704 sectioned coronally at 100 µm and labelled with anti-c-Fos (SYSY, no. 226004 guinea 705 pig, 1: 5,000) diluted in 1× PBS with 5% normal donkey serum (EMD Millipore, Jackson 706 ImmunoResearch) and 0.3% Triton X-100 for 48 h at 4 °C, and then Alexa Fluor 647-707 conjugated donkey anti-guinea pig (Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 24 h at 4 °C. Images 708 were acquired using an Olympus FluoView 1000 confocal microscope. Quantification of 709 Fos-labelling was carried out by recording the number of positive neurons in an 710 equivalent 200 x 200 μ m area of the cNST (bregma – 7.5 mm) and area postrema. 711 For intragastric stimulation, the catheter was placed as previously described^{4,53}. 712 Mice were individually housed and allowed to recover for at least 5 days before stimulus

24

delivery. A syringe pump microcontroller (Harvard Apparatus) was used to deliver 1.5 ml
 of the control PBS or 20% IL solution at 0.050 ml/min⁴.

715

716 **Two-bottle preference assays**

No behavioral experiments, including the short-term assays for taste responses, or the 48 h tests examining the development of sugar or fat preference used waterrestricted or food-deprived animals. Animals were given ad-libitum access to food and water for several days prior to the behavioral tests; any food or water restriction would severely affect the animal's behavior in preference or taste responses.

722 Development of fat preference: Mice were first tested for their initial preference 723 between 1.5% IL and 3 mM AceK ("PRE testing") by completing 100 drinking trials. 724 Each trial was initiated by the first lick and lasted for 5 s; the drinking ports then re-725 opened after 30 s of inter-trial interval. Next, mice were exposed to 500 licks to both 726 1.5% IL and 3 mM AceK; this was repeated twice. Animals were then tested for the 727 development of fat preference over 36 h using the 5 s trials. The pre- and post-728 preference indexes were calculated by dividing the number of licks to fat by the total lick 729 count during the first 2-4 h (100 trials) of baseline measurements (PRE) and during the 730 last 2-4 h (100 trials) of the behavioural session (POST), respectively.

731 In order to perform the two-bottle preference assay using large numbers of mice 732 (e.g. Fig. 4b, 5d, and 6e), the setup was modified by using an LCD-based lick counter. 733 The "PRE" preference index was calculated as the number of licks to fat divided by the 734 total lick count during the first 4 hours; the "POST" preference index was calculated as 735 the number of licks to fat divided by the total lick count during the last 4 hours of the 736 session. Mice had ad libitum access to food throughout. The mice with a PRE index > 737 0.75 were not used owing to their high initial preference for fat (less than 20% of total 738 tested mice had to be eliminated due to this strong bias).

739

740

Fat, sugar and amino acid intestinal stimulation

For nodose imaging experiments: Sugar, 500 mM glucose; amino acids, a mix
consisting of 50 mM methionine, 50mM serine, 50 mM alanine, 50 mM glutamine, and
50 mM cysteine dissolved in PBS. Fat stimuli: 10% linoleic acid, 10% linolenic acid,

10% hexanoic acid, 10% DHA, 10% oleic acid, all diluted in PBS containing 0.1%
xanthan gum and 0.05% Tween 80, and vortexed for a minimum of 10 min; vehicle
control, 0.1% xanthan gum and 0.05% Tween 80. For sugar and fat intestinal
stimulation in imaging experiments, we used a 10s window of stimulation; for amino
acids, we used a 60s stimulus, as we used lower concentrations of each in the mix of
several amino acids (see above).

Note that if using Intralipid mix^{12,13} (a 20% soybean oil emulsion, Santa Cruz) for nodose imaging experiments (rather than consumption where it would be naturally digested and broken down into short, medium and long chain fatty acids), the material needed to be pre-digested with lipases (mimicking its natural course of action upon ingestion). Using the undigested IL for intestinal stimulation imaging experiments yielded unreliable responses (data not shown). IL was incubated with 4mg/ml lipase (sigma) in PBS plus 10 mM CaCl₂ for a minimum of 5 h at 37°C.

757

758 Stereotaxic Surgery

759 Mice were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine (100 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, 760 intraperitoneal), and placed into a stereotaxic frame with a closed-loop heating system 761 to maintain body temperature. The coordinates (Paxinos stereotaxic coordinates) used to inject and place recording fibers in the cNST were: caudal 7.5 mm, lateral ±0.3 mm, 762 763 ventral 3.7-4 mm, all relative to Bregma. The fiber photometry experiments used a 400 764 µm core, 0.48 NA optical fibre (Doric Lenses) implanted 50–100 µm over the left cNST. 765 TRAP2 mice were stereotaxically injected bilaterally in the cNST with AAV9-Syn-DIO-766 mCherry (300nl/mouse), AAV9 DIO eGFP-RPL10a (300nl/mouse) or AAV9 CBA.FLEX-767 TetTox (300 nl/mouse)⁵⁴.

768

769 Genetic access to fat-preference neurons in the brain

The TRAP strategy was used in TRAP2^{20,55} mice to gain genetic access to fatactivated neurons in the cNST. A minimum of 5 days after injection, the AAV-injected TRAP2 mice or TRAP2; Ai9 mice were water restricted for 23 h, given access to 1 ml of water for 1 h, water restricted again for another 23 h (with ad libitum food), and then presented with 20% Intralipid ad libitum in the absence of food and nesting material. 775 After 1 h, mice were injected intraperitoneally with 12.5 mg/kg 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-776 OHT, Sigma H6278) and placed back in the same cage for an additional 3 h. Following 777 4 h of Intralipid exposure, mice were returned to regular home-cage conditions (group-778 caged, with nesting material, ad libitum food and water). Mice were used for 779 experiments a minimum of 10 days after this TRAP protocol. C57BL/6J and 780 TRAP2 mice expressing TetTox in the cNST were tested in the two-bottle Intralipid 781 versus sweetener preference assay for 48 h, as described previously⁴. Note that 782 animals were not food deprived prior to the 90 min TRAPping, so as to prevent

- confounds from the activation of feeding and food-reward responding neurons.
- 784

785 Fibre photometry

Vglut2-cre;Ai96 animals were placed in a stereotaxic frame and implanted with a
 400 µm core, 0.48 NA optical fibre (Doric Lenses) 50–100 µm over the left cNST.
 Photometry experiments were conducted as described previously^{4,56}. To quantify the
 effects of vagotomy, we calculated the ratio of stimulus-related peak amplitude of the
 normalised trace (within 120 s of stimulus onset) prior vagotomy versus after vagotomy.

791 The duodenal catheterization surgery was carried out as described previously⁴. 792 Stimulus delivery was performed via a series of peristaltic pumps (BioChem Fluidics) 793 operated via custom Matlab software/Arduino microcontroller. Stimuli and washes were 794 delivered through separate lines that converged on a common perfusion manifold 795 (Warner Instruments) connected to the duodenal catheter. Trials consisted of a 60-s 796 baseline (PBS 200 µl/min), a 30 s stimulus (200 µl/min), and a 3-min washout period 797 (150 s at 600 µl/min, and 30 s at 150 µl/min). Stimuli were each presented three times 798 in an interleaved fashion. The vagotomy procedure was carried out after the first round 799 of stimulus as described previously^{4,57}.

800

801 **Nodose ganglion injection experiments**

802 <u>Genetic vagal silencing experiments</u>

Cre-expressing animals (*Vip-Cre* and *Trpa1-Cre*) were anaesthetized with ketamine and xylazine (100 mg kg-1 and 10 mg kg-1, intraperitoneal). The skin under the neck was shaved and betadine and alcohol were used to scrub the skin three times.

806 A midline incision (~1.5 cm) was made and the trachea and surrounding muscles were 807 gently retracted to expose the nodose ganglia. AAV9 CBA.FLEX-TetTox (600 nl per 808 ganglion) containing Fast Green (Sigma, F7252-5G) was injected in both left and right 809 ganglia using a 30° bevelled glass pipette (custom-bevelled Clunbury Scientific). At the 810 end of surgery, the skin incision was closed using 5-0 absorbable sutures (CP medical, 811 421A). Mice were allowed to recover for a minimum of 26 days before two-bottle 812 preference tests for sugar and fat. We note that almost all of the Vip-Cre animals 813 survived the surgical procedure and bilateral injections, while only 50% of the Trpa1-Cre 814 animals survived.

The *Trpa1-Cre* knock-in line was validated by *in situ* hybridization experiments
(Extended Data Fig. 9a). Fixed frozen nodose ganglia were sectioned at 16 μm
thickness and processed for mRNA detection using the RNAscope Fluorescent
Multiplex Kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) following the manufacturer's instructions. The
following RNAscope probes were used: Trpa1 (Cat# 400211-C3) and Cre-O4 (Cat#
546951).

821 <u>Chemogenetic-activation experiments</u>

For gain-of-preference experiments, *Vip-Cre* animals were injected bilaterally
with 600 nl/ganglion of an AAV carrying the Cre-dependent activator DREADD (AAV9
Syn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry)^{37,39} and were allowed to recover for a minimum of three
weeks before behavioural tests. Control and *Vip-Cre* mice were tested in a two-bottle
grape versus cherry flavour-preference assay (grape: 0.39 g/L Kool-Aid Unsweetened
Grape, cherry: 0.36 g /L Kool-Aid Unsweetened Cherry, both containing 1 mM AceK).
Flavour-preference tests were carried out as previously described⁴.

830 Vagal calcium imaging

Each mouse was anaesthetized with ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). The mice were tracheotomized, and the nodose ganglion was exposed for imaging exactly as previously described⁴.

834 For CCKAR blocker experiments, devazepide (sigma) was dissolved in DMSO 835 and diluted to a final dose of 4 mg/kg in saline¹¹. For glutamate receptor blocker 836 experiments, a mixture of metabotropic glutamate receptor antagonist L-(+)-2-Amino-3phosphonopropionic acid (AP3; 2 mg/kg) and ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonist kynurenic acid (KA, 300 μ g/kg) was used. CCKAR and glutamate receptor blockers were delivered both into the intestines and abdominal cavity¹¹; after a 5 min incubation period, the imaging session was started. For CCK application, the intestines, still attached to the anesthesized mouse, were partly placed on a 25mm petri dish to allow delivery (60 s) and wash-out (>180 s) of the stimuli (1 μ g/ml CCK peptide; Bachem 4033101).

Note that for nodose imaging experiments using sugar, glucose stimuli consisted of 10 s pulses since stimulating with high concentration (>250 mM) for long pulses (60 s or more) strongly activates nutrient-independent vagal responses^{4,22,58}, severely masking sugar/nutrient-evoked responses.

848

849 Calcium-imaging data collection and analysis

Imaging data was obtained using an Evolve 512 EMCCD camera (Photometrics).
Data was acquired at 5 Hz. A single field of view was chosen for recording and analysis
from each ganglion. Calcium-imaging data collected at 5 Hz was downsampled by a
factor of 2, and the images were stabilised using the NoRMCorre algorithm⁵⁹. Motioncorrected movies were then manually segmented in ImageJ using the Cell Magic Wand
plugin. Neuropil fluorescence was subtracted from each ROI with the FISSA toolbox⁶⁰,
and neural activity was denoised using the OASIS deconvolution algorithm⁶¹.

Neuronal activity was analysed for significant stimulus-evoked responses as described previously^{4,62}. Note that for the fat receptor knockout imaging studies, the minimal peak amplitude for defining responders was set to 1% Δ F/F. To quantify responses in fat receptors knockouts (Fig. 6d and Extended Data Fig. 10g), the number of responding neurons over the total number of imaged neurons per ganglia was normalized to the number of responders in wild type control mice (set to 100%).

For experiments using blockers, two repeat trials per stimuli were used to accommodate the expanded time scale of the session (i.e. before and after), and a neuron was considered a responder if it responded in both trials. The two-trial average area under curve (AUC) for each stimulus was used to quantify the before and after responses (Extended Data Fig. 6d, e). Imaging data is presented as heat maps of z-score-normalized responses (see also reference 4). Equivalent results are obtained when using absolute Δ F/F (data not shown)

871

872 Statistics

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size, and investigators 873 874 were not blinded to group allocation. No method of randomization was used to 875 determine how animals were allocated to experimental groups. Statistical methods used 876 include one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post hoc test, two-tailed t-test, two-877 way ANOVA or the two-sided Mann–Whitney U-test, and are indicated for all figures. 878 Analyses were performed in MATLAB and GraphPad Prism 8. Data are presented as 879 mean ± s.e.m. Fig. 6d: ANOVA with Tukey's test compared to Snap25-GCaMP6s control. CD36 880

KO (n = 6 mice) vs control, P = 0.99; GPR40 KO (R40, n = 7 mice) vs control, P = 0.89;

882 GPR120 KO (R120, n = 6 mice) vs control, P = 0.53; CD36/GPR40 double KO

883 (CD36/R40, n = 6 mice) vs control, P = 0.96; CD36/GPR120 double KO, (CD36/R120, n

884 = 8 mice) vs control, P = 0.99; GPR40/GPR120 double KO (R40/120, n = 7 mice) vs

control, P = $5x10^{-6}$; CD36/GPR40/GPR120 triple KO (3KO, n = 6 mice) vs control, P = $4x10^{-6}$.

Fig. 6e: Two-tailed paired t-tests evaluating Pre versus Post fat preference. Wild type mice (WT, n = 11 mice) Pre vs Post, P = $2x10^{-6}$; CD36 KO, (n = 8 mice) Pre vs Post, P = $4.8x10^{-3}$; GPR40 KO, (R40, n = 12 mice) Pre vs Post, P = $1x10^{-4}$; GPR120 KO, (R120, n = 14 mice) Pre vs Post, P = $1.03x10^{-4}$; CD36/GPR40 KO, (D36/R40, n = 5mice) Pre vs Post, P = $2x10^{-2}$; CD36/GPR120 KO (D36/R120, n = 6 mice) Pre vs Post, P = $1.7x10^{-3}$; GPR40/GPR120 double KO (R40/120, n = 7 mice) Pre vs Post, P = 0.81; CD36/GPR40/GPR120 triple KO (3KO, n = 9 mice) Pre vs Post, P = 0.46.

Fig. 6f: Two-tailed paired t-tests evaluating Pre versus Post sugar preference. Wild type mice (WT, n = 10 mice) Pre vs Post, $P = 2.9 \times 10^{-5}$; GPR40^{-/-}GPR120^{-/-} (R40/120, n = 9 mice), Pre vs Post, $P = 8.0 \times 10^{-5}$; CD36^{-/-}GPR40^{-/-}GPR120^{-/-} (3KO, n = 7mice), Pre vs Post, $P = 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$.

898			
899	Code	availability	
900		Custom code is available from corresponding author upon request.	
901			
902	Data	availability	
903		All data supporting the findings of this study are available upon request.	
904			
905	Refer	rences for Methods and Supplementary Information	
906	51.	51. Yang, H., Wang, H. & Jaenisch, R. Generating genetically modified mice using	
907		CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. Nat Protoc 9, 1956-1968, (2014).	
908	52.	Lee, H., Macpherson, L. J., Parada, C. A., Zuker, C. S. & Ryba, N. J. P. Rewiring	
909		the taste system. <i>Nature</i> 548 , 330-333, (2017).	
910	53.	Ueno, A. <i>et al.</i> Mouse intragastric infusion (iG) model. <i>Nat Protoc</i> 7 , 771-781,	
911		(2012).	
912	54.	Murray, A. J. et al. Parvalbumin-positive CA1 interneurons are required for	
913		spatial working but not for reference memory. Nat Neurosci 14, 297-299, (2011).	
914	55.	DeNardo, L. A. et al. Temporal evolution of cortical ensembles promoting remote	
915		memory retrieval. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> 22 , 460-469, (2019).	
916	56.	Lerner, T. N. et al. Intact-Brain Analyses Reveal Distinct Information Carried by	
917		SNc Dopamine Subcircuits. <i>Cell</i> 162 , 635-647, (2015).	
918	57.	Allen, I. C. Mouse Models of Allergic Disease Methods and Protocols Preface.	
919		Mouse Models of Allergic Disease, Methods and Protocols 1032, V-Vi, (2013).	
920	58.	Ichiki, T. et al. Sensory representation and detection mechanisms of gut	
921		osmolality change. <i>Nature</i> 602 , 468-474, (2022).	
922	59.	Pnevmatikakis, E. A. & Giovannucci, A. NoRMCorre: An online algorithm for	
923		piecewise rigid motion correction of calcium imaging data. J Neurosci Methods	
924	()	291 , 83-94, (2017).	
925	60.	Keemink, S. W. et al. FISSA: A neuropil decontamination toolbox for calcium	
926		imaging signals. <i>Sci Rep</i> 8 , 3493, (2018).	
927	61.	Friedrich, J., Zhou, P. & Paninski, L. Fast online deconvolution of calcium	
928		imaging data. <i>PLoS Comput Biol</i> 13 , e1005423, (2017).	

929 62. Barretto, R. P. *et al.* The neural representation of taste quality at the periphery.
930 *Nature* **517**, 373-376, (2015).

- 931 63. Madisen, L. *et al.* A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and
 932 characterization system for the whole mouse brain. *Nat Neurosci* 13, 133-140,
 933 (2010).
- 934

935 Acknowledgements

936 We thank members of the Zuker lab for valuable comments and suggestions,

and an extraordinary group of undergraduate students from Columbia University that

938 participated in various aspects of this work, Andre Balian, Justine Li, and Brian McTyre.

- 939 We particularly thank Liqun Luo (Stanford University) for the generous gift of TRAP
- 940 animals, Alex Sisti for the generation of SGLT1 knockout mice, Hao Jin for general

941 advice with figures and experiments, Zaiqi (Julie) Wu for help generating the genetically

942 engineered animals, and Laura Rickman for expert help with figures. H-E.T. was

supported by Agency for Science, Technology and Research and National Medical

944 Research Council of Singapore. Research reported in this publication was supported in

part by the Russell Berrie Foundation program in the neurobiology of obesity (to C.S.Z

and Rudy Leibel). C.S.Z. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

947 Figures were generated with the help of BioRender.

This article is subject to HHMI's Open Access to Publications policy. HHMI lab heads have previously granted a nonexclusive CC BY 4.0 license to the public and a sublicensable license to HHMI in their research articles. Pursuant to those licenses, the author-accepted manuscript of this article can be made freely available under a CC BY 4.0 license immediately upon publication.

953

954 Author Contributions

M.L. designed the study, carried out the experiments, developed the imaging
strategies, and analysed data. H-E.T. carried out the initial studies, engineered animals
and generated fat receptor knockout animals. Z.L. helped with generating knock-in
lines, molecular studies, single cell RNA seq analysis, and analysed data. K.S.T.
designed and characterized engineered animals and behavioural experiments, and

960	analysed data. A.J.C. performed physiological and behavioural experiments. C.S.Z.
961	designed the study and analysed data. C.S.Z. and M.L. wrote the paper with input from
962	other authors. H-E.T. current address: Agency for Science, Technology and Research,
963	Singapore 138668, Singapore.
964	
965	
966	Competing Interests
967	C.S.Z. is a scientific co-founder and advisor of Kallyope
968	
969	Extended Data Figure legends
970	
971	Extended Data Figure 1: Development of post-ingestive fat preference is
972	independent of immediate attraction to fat and caloric content
973	a,b, Immediate attraction to sweet and fat. Graphs of lick counts from brief-
974	access (30 min) two-bottle tests. a , Artificial sweetener (3 mM AceK) versus water, $n = 9$
975	mice, two-tailed paired t-test, $P = 2 \times 10^{-6}$; b , Fat (1.5% Intralipid, IL) versus water, $n = 9$
976	mice, two-tailed paired t-test, $P = 2 \times 10^{-5}$. Values are mean ± s.e.m. Note strong innate
977	attraction to sweet and fat stimuli. c, Immediate attraction to fat is abolished in TRPM5
978	knock-out animals. Shown are results from 30 min two-bottle test of fat (1.5% Intralipid,
979	IL) versus water in wild type mice (left panel, $n = 11$ mice) versus TRPM5 knockout
980	mice (right panel, $n = 12$ mice). TRPM5 knock-out animals are blind to the taste of fat ³ .
981	Two-sided Mann–Whitney U-test wild type versus TRPM5 knockout fat consumption: P
982	= 1.6x10 ⁻³ . Note that there is no innate attraction to either bottle, with the animals
983	randomly choosing to consume from either one. d, In contrast, in a 48 h two-bottle fat
984	preference test, TRPM5 KO animals still developed strong post-ingestive preference to
985	fat ($n = 6$ mice, two-tailed paired t-test, $P = 7.4 \times 10^{-4}$). e , Development of fat preference
986	is independent of caloric content. To test the effect of calories, we examined preference
987	between a caloric sugar (fructose) versus fat. Importantly, we used a sugar (fructose)
988	that does not activate SGLT1, and therefore does not trigger post-ingestive preference ⁴ ,
989	thus we can isolate the effect of calories without the confound of having two preference-
990	triggering stimuli (i.e. glucose versus fat). Cartoon on the top illustrates the behavioral

arena; mice were allowed to choose between fructose (0.15 kcal/ml) and fat (IL at 0.15

- 992 kcal/ml). **f**, Similar test, but fructose at twice (0.3 kcal/ml) the caloric content of IL. By
- 993 the end of the 48 h preference test, all the mice switched their preference for fat. **e**,
- paired t-test, $P = 8 \times 10^{-4}$, n = 7; **f**, paired t-test, $P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$, n = 7. Note that while at the
- higher fructose concentration (panel f) all of the animals began the test with much
- 996 stronger attraction to the (sweeter) fructose bottle, all still switched their preference to
- 997 fat, independent of caloric content.
- 998

999 Extended Data Figure 2: Fat activates cNST neurons

1000 a-d, Strong Fos labelling is observed in neurons of the cNST (Bregma -7.5mm) in 1001 response to ingestion of fat stimuli (panels **c-d**), but not in control animals (10% mineral) oil, panel **b**). Stimulus: 10% linoleic acid (LA), 10% oleic acid (OA). Scale bars, 200 µm. 1002 e, Quantification of Fos-positive neurons. ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test against 1003 mineral oil (MO, n = 5 mice): $P = 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ for linoleic acid (LA, n = 5 mice), $P = 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$ 1004 1005 for oleic acid (OA, n = 5 mice). Values are mean \pm s.e.m. f-i, TetTox silencing of fat-TRAP cNST neurons does not impair immediate attraction to sweet (3 mM AceK; f, g) 1006 or fat (1.5% IL; **h**, **i**). Two-tailed paired t-tests: sweet versus water, wild type, n = 10, P =1007 1.1×10^{-7} ; TetTox n = 9, $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$. For fat versus water, wild type, n = 10, $P = 6.8 \times 10^{-7}$ 1008 ⁵; TetTox, n = 9, $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$. Values are mean ± s.e.m. **j-k**. Intragastric infusion with fat 1009 1010 activates cNST neurons. j, Direct intragastric infusion of fat (IL) but not control (PBS) 1011 robustly activates the cNST. Scale bars, 100 µm. k, Quantification of Fos-positive 1012 neurons in animals infused with control and IL stimuli, Two-sided Mann–Whitney U-test between control and Intralipid (n = 5 mice), $P = 8 \times 10^{-3}$. I, We note that we often observe 1013 1014 variable labeling in the area postrema (see Figure 1c and panel j here), but such 1015 labeling is independent of oral versus intragastric infusion⁴. The bar graphs show the quantification of Fos⁺ neurons in the area postrema (AP) and cNST (Fig. 1d) in 1016 1017 response to free licking of IL (90 min) versus intragastric infusion (n = 5 mice). cFos in 1018 cNST: oral 105±6, infusion 99±6, cFos in AP: oral 93±15, infusion 90±12. The 1019 equivalent area of the cNST (bregma – 7.5 mm) was processed and counted for the 1020 different experiments. Two tailed unpaired t-test, cNST: *P* = 0.54; AP: *P* = 0.86. Values 1021 are mean ± s.e.m.

1022 Extended Data Figure 3: Quantification of cNST and nodose labeling

1023 a. Genetic TRAPing of cNST neurons with fat stimuli (see Methods) is efficient 1024 and reliable. We labelled the fat-induced TRAP2 neurons by infection with an AAV carrying a Cre-dependent fluorescent reporter⁴ (shown in green), and then performed a 1025 1026 second cycle of fat stimulation followed by Fos antibody labelling⁴ (shown in red; see 1027 Methods). **b**, By comparing the number of neurons expressing the fluorescent reporter 1028 to the number neurons labelled by Fos antibodies, we determined that 90.7±0.6% of Fat-Fos neurons were also TRAPed with the fat stimuli (n = 6). Scale bar, 20 µm. **c**, For 1029 1030 experiments targeting AAV- FLEX-TetTox, or AAV-DIO-mCherry (or GFP) to the cNST 1031 we used fat-stimulated TRAP2 animals (see Methods). By comparing the number of 1032 neurons expressing AAV after TRAPing and infection, to the number of cNST neurons labeled after crossing similarly TRAped animals to Ai9⁶³ reporter mice, we estimate the 1033 infection of TRAPed neurons to be >90%: TRAP-AAV: 68±1 neurons; Trap-Ai9: 71±1 1034 neurons (n = 8). Scale bar, 100 µm. The equivalent area of the cNST (bregma – 7.5 1035 1036 mm) was processed and counted for the separate experiments. Values are mean ± 1037 s.e.m. **d**, Shown is a whole mount image of a nodose ganglia from *Vip-Cre* animals 1038 infected with AAV- FLEX-TetTox virus (see Methods). Average number of labeled 1039 neurons from Vip-TetTox was 48 ± 13 neurons (n = 4), and the average of nodose 1040 neurons labeled with AAV- FLEX-TetTox virus in the Trpa1-Cre animals was 62±23 1041 neurons (n=6; not shown). These numbers compare favorably (~50%) to the total 1042 number of VIP and Trpa1 neurons detected by crossing the Cre animals to reporter Ai9⁶³ mice: VIP ~100 neurons; Trpa1 ~120 neurons (data not shown). Scale bar, 100 1043 1044 µm. e, Shown is a whole mount image of nodose ganglia from Vip-Cre animals infected 1045 with AAV- DIO-hM3Dq (activator DREADD^{36,38}). VIP-DREADD labeling efficiency: 1046 $43\pm4\%$ ($43\pm4/100.5$), n = 9. Scale bar, 100 µm. **f-i**, cNST-activation in response to 1047 intestinal delivery of fat and sugar is mediated via vagal signaling. AAV carrying a Cre-1048 dependent GCaMP6s was targeted to the cNST of *Penk-Cre* animals⁴. f, Fiber 1049 photometry was used to monitor cNST activity in response to intestinal delivery of sugar 1050 and fat stimuli (see also Fig. 2b-d); to minimize any labeling in the AP and ensure the 1051 signals originate in cNST neurons, we used AAV targeting of GCaMP6s to the cNST 1052 (see panel I below). **q**, Neural responses following intestinal delivery of fat (10% linoleic

1053 acid, LA) or sugar (500 mM glucose, Glu). The light traces denote normalized three-trial 1054 averages from individual animals, and the dark trace is the average of all trials. The 1055 responses after bilateral vagotomy are shown in green. Black bars below traces indicate the time and duration of stimuli; n = 4 mice. NR, normalized response. Note robust, 1056 1057 time-locked responses of cNST neurons to intestinal delivery of fat and sugar. Importantly, responses are abolished after bilateral vagotomy. h, Quantification of 1058 neural responses before and after vagotomy. Two-tailed paired t-test. P = 3.8x10⁻⁵ 1059 (sugar), $P = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ (fat). Data are mean ± s.e.m. **i**, Sample brains of two different 1060 1061 injected animals demonstrating expression of GCaMP6s restricted to the cNST, with minimal expression in the AP; the top brain also demarks the location of the recording 1062 1063 fiber (dashed rectangle). Scale bars, 200 µm.

1064

1065 Extended Data Figure 4: Various dietary fatty acids activate vagal neurons

1066 a-b, Schematic of vagal calcium imaging while simultaneously delivering stimuli into the intestines (see Methods for details). The picture shows a representative view of 1067 1068 a vagal nodose ganglion of *Vglut-Cre; Ai96* in an imaging session. Two fat responders 1069 (denoted #1 and #2) are highlighted, and their responses shown in panel c. c, Sample traces of vagal responses to intestinal stimulation with alternating pulses of vehicle or 1070 1071 fat (pre-digested IL; see Methods for details). Note time-locked, reliable responses to 1072 fat, but not to vehicle control. Stimulus window (60 s) is marked by dotted white lines. 1073 Note that since IL is a complex mix, it must be pre-digested in vitro by incubation with 1074 lipases prior to using in imaging experiments (versus ingestion, where endogenous 1075 lipases in the stomach naturally digest IL). d, Heat maps depict z-score-normalized 1076 fluorescence traces from vagal neurons that responded to pre-digested (dIL, n = 79/4631077 neurons from 8 ganglia). Each row represents the average activity of a single cell to 1078 three trials. Stimulus window is shown by dotted white lines. e-i, Responses of vagal 1079 neurons to intestinal delivery of a range of fatty acids. e. Heat maps show z-score-1080 normalized fluorescence traces of vagal neurons to intestinal delivery of 10% LA (10 s) 1081 and digested Intralipid (dIL); n = 116/634 neurons from 7 ganglia; note that the same 1082 neurons responded to both stimuli. f, 10% LA (10 s) and 10% alpha-linolenic acid (ALA), 1083 n = 49/322 neurons from 3 ganglia; **g**, 10% LA (10 s) and 10% docosahexaenoic acid

- 1084 (DHA), n = 51/348 neurons from 5 ganglia; **h**, 10% LA (10 s) and 10% oleic acid (OA),
- n=39/418 neurons from 6 ganglia; i, 10% LA (10 s) and 10% hexanoic acid (HA),
- 1086 *n*=52/495 neurons from 6 ganglia.
- 1087

1088Extended Data Figure 5: Distinct populations of vagal neurons respond to1089intestinal delivery of nutrients and fat

1090 a, Pie chart illustrating the fraction of fat and sugar responders in the nodose 1091 ganglia of Vglut2-GCaMP6s animals. The data is from 1813 neurons from 22 ganglia 1092 (red, n = 323 cells, 17%). Right, within the responding neurons, 151 (47%) responded to 1093 both sugar and fat ("nutrient responders"), while 153 (47%) responded only to fat but not 1094 to sugar stimuli ("fat-only responders"). **b**, Sugar/nutrient versus fat-only vagal neurons: Heat maps depicting z-score-normalized vagal responses to intestinal delivery of fat 1095 1096 (10% linoleic acid, LA), sugar (500 mM glucose) and amino acids (250 mM amino acid 1097 mixture, AA; see Methods). Each row represents the average activity of a single cell to 3 1098 trials. Stimulus window is shown by dotted white lines. Upper panels show 150 neurons 1099 that responded to intestinal application of sugar, fat and amino acids ("sugar/nutrient 1100 responders"); bottom panels show 192 neurons that responded only to fat. n = 221101 ganglia, 1884 imaged neurons. c, Representative traces from a "sugar/nutrient responder" (top) and a "fat-only responder" (bottom). Shown are responses to intestinal 1102 1103 stimulation with 9 interleaved pulses of fat (10% LA, 10 s, green dotted line), sugar (500 1104 mM Glu, 10 s) and amino acids (250 mM AA, 60 s). d, Heat maps of the small subset of 1105 vagal neurons that responded to sugar and amino acids but not to fat (n = 14/1884)1106 neurons from 22 nodose ganglia). On average, less than 1 neuron was detected per 1107 ganglia. We note that when using high concentrations of glucose stimuli (>250 mM) for 1108 long stimulation times (60 s) one can detect strong vagal responses, but these have 1109 been shown not to be sugar-preference responses^{4,22}.

1110

1111 Extended Data Figure 6: CCK signalling mediates sugar/nutrient responses

a, Cartoon of vagal calcium imaging while simultaneously delivering sugar and fat

- 1113 stimuli into the intestines. The bottom inset illustrates CCK-expressing enteroendocrine
- 1114 cells (EECs) in the intestines. **b**, A recent study¹¹ reported that the gut-to-vagal sugar

1115 preference signal is carried by glutamate as a transmitter²⁶. However, this conclusion 1116 was based on three indirect assays and measurements. First, the use of in vitro 1117 organoids with dissociated vagal neurons, where all native connectivity between potential EECs and vagal neurons is lost²⁶. Second, by using whole nerve recordings 1118 1119 from thousands of random vagal fibres¹¹, which do not afford the identification of the 1120 functionally relevant vagal signal (i.e. recognizing the sugar-preference signals from any 1121 other activity). Third, by using very long sugar stimuli (1 min) under conditions known to 1122 activate large populations of vagal neurons that mask the response of sugar/nutrient preference neurons^{4,22,58} (note also that the whole vagal nerve responses, unlike 1123 1124 sugar/nutrient responses, never decayed after termination of the sugar stimulus). 1125 Consequently, we directly examined the role of glutamate signalling by imaging the 1126 responses of the relevant sugar-preference vagal neurons to intestinal sugar stimuli 1127 before and after addition of a mixture of AP3 and KA glutamate receptor 1128 antagonists. Indeed, our results demonstrated that pharmacological inhibition of 1129 glutamate-based signalling has no effect on this gut-to-vagal sugar/nutrient sensing 1130 circuit. Shown are representative traces of vagal neuron responses to intestinal 1131 infusions of fat, sugar and amino acids before and after treatment with ionotropic/metabotropic glutamate receptor antagonists (2 mg/kg AP3 with 300 µg/kg 1132 1133 kynurenic acid, see Methods). Top traces show sugar/fat/amino acid responding vagal 1134 neurons, bottom traces show fat-only responders. c, In contrast, pharmacological 1135 inhibition of glutamate-based signalling abolished all osmolarity responses. Heat maps 1136 depicting z-score-normalized vagal responses to intestinal osmolarity stimuli (60s of 1 M NaCl) ^{4,22,58} before and after treatment with ionotropic/metabotropic glutamate receptor 1137 1138 antagonists (2 mg/kg AP3 with 300 µg/kg kynurenic acid). d, Quantification of the 1139 responses to 1 M NaCl, 10% LA, 500 mM Glucose, and 250 mM AA mixture before 1140 (black bars) and after blockers (red bars). 1M NaCl, n = 56 neurons, $P = 1 \times 10^{-10}$. For nutrient responders: LA, n = 21, P = 0.16; Glucose, n = 21, P = 0.85; AA, n = 21, P = 0.85; AA, n = 21, P = 0.16; Clucose, n = 21, P = 0.85; AA, n = 21, P = 0.16; Clucose, n = 21, P = 0.161141 1142 0.07. For fat-only responders, n = 19, P = 0.54 by two-tailed paired t-tests. All values 1143 are mean ± s.e.m. AUC: average area under curve (see Methods). e, Left, 1144 Representative traces of vagal neuron responses to intestinal infusions of fat, sugar and 1145 amino acids before and after treatment with cholecystokinin A receptor (CCKAR)

1146 blocker (4 mg/kg devazepide, see Methods). Note robust, reliable responses to fat (10% 1147 LA) and sugar (500 mM Glucose) prior to addition of CCKAR antagonist. However, all 1148 responses are loss after addition of antagonist (top panel). By contrast, fat-only 1149 responses are unaffected (bottom panel). Right panel, quantification of responses 1150 before (open bars) and after (red bars) CCKAR antagonist (data from Fig. 3a, b). For nutrient responders: LA, n = 37 neurons, $P = 1 \times 10^{-9}$; Glucose, n = 37, $P = 1 \times 10^{-9}$; AA, n1151 = 37. $P = 1 \times 10^{-9}$. For fat-only responders, n = 38, P = 0.11 by two-tailed paired t-tests. 1152 All values are mean ± s.e.m. f, Sugar/nutrient but not fat-only responders utilise CCK 1153 1154 signalling. Left, Heat maps depicting z-score-normalized fluorescence traces from vagal 1155 neurons identified as sugar/nutrient responders (upper panels, n = 41 neurons); note 1156 responses to sugar, fat and amino acid stimuli. The lower heat-map shows the fat-only neurons (n = 41 neurons). After stimulating with CCK (1 µg/ml), all sugar/nutrient 1157 1158 responders were activated, but not the fat-only vagal neurons. Right, Representative traces of 2 sample neurons to pulses of 10% linoleic acid (LA), 500 mM glucose (G), 1159 1160 250 mM amino acids (A), and CCK. Stimulus windows are indicated by dotted lines. gh, CCK-dependent (sugar and fat) and CCK-independent (fat-only) intestinal gut-to-1161 brain fat-preference pathways co-contribute to fat signals in the cNST. Shown are 1162 photometric recordings of cNST neurons in *Penk-Cre* animals⁴ in response to intestinal 1163 1164 fat-evoked activation of both fat-stimulated vagal pathways (black traces and bars). 1165 Shown in red are the same responses after inhibiting signaling via the CCK-dependent 1166 vagal pathway (see panel a-f). i, cNST responses to intestinal fat stimulation are 1167 reduced to ~50% after removing CCK-dependent signaling, demonstrating the separate 1168 contributions of the two fat-preference circuits. As expected, sugar-evoked responses 1169 are completely abolished after inhibiting signaling via the CCK-dependent pathway. n = 5, $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ by two-tailed paired t-test. All values are mean ± s.e.m. See text and 1170 methods for details. We note that in gain-of-function experiments, with DREADD being 1171 1172 overexpressed in vagal neurons, activation of a single pathway is sufficient to create 1173 new preferences (see for example Fig.4). 1174

- 1175
- 1176

1177 Extended Data Figure 7: Sugar/fat/amino acid sensing vagal neurons

1178 a. Shown is a tSNE plot of the transcriptome of mouse vagal nodose neurons (original data set taken from reference³⁷); CCKAR expression is represented on a grey-1179 to-red scale. b, CCKAR-expressing neurons respond to intestinal stimulation with 1180 1181 nutrients. An engineered Cckar-iCre was used to drive GCaMP6s expression in CCKAR 1182 vagal neurons (see Methods). We analysed 724 imaged neurons from 12 ganglia. 1183 Shown are heat maps depicting z-score-normalized fluorescence traces of the CCKAR-1184 expressing neurons responding to intestinal delivery of fat (10 % linoleic acid), sugar 1185 (500 mM glucose) or amino acids (250 mM amino acid mixture). Stimulus window is 1186 shown by dotted white lines. c-d, tSNE plot of the transcriptome of mouse vagal nodose 1187 neurons; urotensin 2B (Uts2b) expression is represented on a grey-to-red scale. d, Shown are responses of vagal Uts2b-expressing neurons (Uts2b-GCaMP6s) to 1188 1189 intestinal delivery of fat (10 % linoleic acid), sugar (500 mM glucose) or amino acids 1190 (250 mM amino acid mixture). The heat maps depict z-score-normalised fluorescence 1191 traces of sugar/nutrient responders (n = 52/207 neurons from 7 ganglia). Stimulus 1192 window is shown by dotted white lines. Note that only 3 of the 52 neurons responded 1193 only to fat (shown at the top of the heat maps). e, Sugar/nutrient responders are a 1194 unique subset of CCKAR-expressing vagal neurons. Heat maps showing z-score-1195 normalized fluorescence traces from vagal neurons that respond to CCK and nutrient 1196 stimuli (see Extended Data Fig. 6f). While all of the neurons that responded to intestinal 1197 stimulation with sugar, fat and amino acids (i.e. the sugar/nutrient sensors) also 1198 responded to CCK, the vast majority of vagal neurons that respond to CCK do not 1199 respond to nutrient stimuli (bottom heat maps, n = 136 neurons). This is expected since 1200 only a small fraction would be mediating sugar/nutrient preference, versus other roles of CCK signaling³¹⁻³³. Stimuli: 10% linoleic acid (LA), 10 s; 500 mM glucose, 10 s; 250 mM 1201 1202 amino acids (AA), 60 s; 1 µg/ml CCK, 60 s. f, the pie chart is based on data from 12 1203 ganglia. Since vagal neurons that only respond to fat stimuli are not activated by CCK. 1204 they are not part of this analysis (see Extended Data Fig. 6f, bottom panels). g, Pie 1205 charts depicting the fraction of sugar/nutrient (red) and fat-only (green) responders in 1206 animals driving GCaMP6s reporter from various driver lines: Valut2-Cre, Cckar-Cre,

1207 *Vip-Cre, Uts2b-Cre,* and *Trpa1-Cre* animals. *VIP/Uts2b* define the sugar/nutrient 1208 responders while TrpA1 mark the fat-only responders.

1209

1210 Extended Data Figure 8: Drinking and eating in Tet-Tox silenced animals.

1211 a, Shown are graphs for consumption (AceK and IL) in two-bottle 48 h preference 1212 assav for control and cNST-silenced animals ($n \ge 8$ mice). P = 0.151 (from Fig.2a). b. 1213 Consumption in two-bottle 48 h preference assay for control and Vip-silenced mice ($n \ge 1$ 1214 7 mice), P = 0.69 (from Fig. 4b). **c**, Consumption in two-bottle 48 hr preference assay 1215 for control and Trpa1-silenced mice ($n \ge 6$ mice), P = 0.44 (from Fig. 5c). Values are 1216 mean ± s.e.m. **d-f**, Animals with genetically silenced sugar/nutrient preference vagal 1217 neurons (VIP), or fat-only vagal neurons (Trpa1) still exhibit normal innate attraction to sweetener (d), sugar (e), and fat stimuli (f). Shown are graphs for 30 min two-bottle 1218 1219 tests for control mice, and for mice with silenced VIP-expressing vagal neurons (VIP-Tx) 1220 and mice with silenced Trpa1-expressing vagal neurons (Trpa1-Tx). d, AceK versus 1221 water in VIP-Tx (n = 8) and Trpa1-Tx (n = 6) animals is not significantly different from controls. ANOVA with Tukey's test: VIP-Tx, P = 0.36, Trpa1-Tx, P = 0.66. e, Glucose 1222 1223 versus water in VIP-Tx (n = 8) and TrpA1-Tx (n = 6) is not significantly different from 1224 control animals. ANOVA with Tukey's test: VIP-Tx, P = 0.45, Trpa1-Tx, P = 0.67. f, IL versus water in VIP-Tx (n = 8) and TrpA1-Tx (n = 6) is not significantly different from 1225 control animals. ANOVA with Tukey's test: VIP-Tx, P = 0.87, Trpa1-Tx, P = 0.91. Values 1226 1227 are mean ± s.e.m. Tastants: AceK (3 mM), Glucose (200 mM), IL (1.5%). g, The graph shows body weight measurements from *Vip-Cre* animals injected with AAV-Flex-TetTox 1228 1229 in both nodose ganglia, from the time the animals were infected until the time behavioral 1230 preference tests were performed (days 24- 26.); data is presented as percent change, 1231 with weight at time zero defined as 100%. Thin lines represent individual animals; dark 1232 lines represent the average body weight of TetTox (n = 7 mice, red) and control (n = 10mice, black) animals. No significant differences were detected, two-way ANOVA, P = 1233 1234 0.37. 1235 1236

- 1230
- 1237

Extended Data Figure 9: Gpr65, Piezo2, Calca, and Oxtr vagal neurons do not sense fat or sugar

1240 a, Validation of Trpa1-Cre mice. Double In situ hybridization labeling for the 1241 endogenous Trpa1 gene (green) and for Cre-recombinase (red) in the nodose of Trpa1-1242 Cre knock-in mice (see Methods). Shown is a frozen section demonstrating the strong 1243 overlap (n = 3 mice). The left 3 panels show the in-situ results, and the right 3 panels 1244 show an illustration of the labeling results. Scale bars, 100 µm. b-e, The panels show tSNE plots of the nodose transcriptome³⁷ highlighting the 4 clusters, and heat maps of 1245 1246 responses to intestinal delivery of fat and sugar from various vagal clusters using the 1247 corresponding Cre driver lines. **a**, GPR65 vagal neurons are known to indiscriminately 1248 respond to a wide range of long stimuli at high concentrations, including salt, fructose, mannose, and glucose, and considered osmolarity responders^{4,22,58}. The heat maps 1249 1250 show z-score-normalized fluorescence traces from all imaged vagal neurons in response to intestinal infusions of fat (10% linoleic acid, LA, 10 s), sugar (500 mM 1251 1252 glucose, 10 s) or high osmolarity salt (1 M NaCl) for 60s in Gpr65-Cre;Ai96 animals. 1253 Each row represents the average activity of a single cell to three trials. Stimulus window 1254 is shown by dotted white lines. n = 69 neurons from 3 ganglia. **c-e**, Calcium imaging of 1255 vagal responses in *Piezo2-Cre;Ai96*, *Calca-Cre;Ai96*, and *Oxtr-Cre;Ai96* animals. The heat maps showing z-score-normalized fluorescence traces of all imaged neurons in 1256 1257 response to intestinal infusion of fat or sugar. **c**, Piezo2: n = 99 neurons from 4 ganglia; 1258 **d**, Calca: n = 168 neurons from 5 ganglia; **e**, Oxtr: n = 89 neurons from 6 ganglia. No 1259 significant responses were detected for any of the lines. f. Generation of fat receptor 1260 knockouts. Schematic illustrating the structural domains of the murine wild type CD36, 1261 GPR40, and GPR120 protein sequences, with the deletions denoted by the black 1262 boxes. For CD36 KO, we engineered a 626 nucleotide (nt) deletion removing residues 1263 107 to 185, which forms part of the hydrophobic binding pocket of CD36⁴⁴. For GPR40 1264 KO, we engineered a 695 nt deletion that removed more than 75% of the protein. For 1265 GPR120, we introduced a 412 nt deletion removing 136 residues, and introducing a 1266 nonsense frameshift disrupting functional translation of the remaining two-thirds of the 1267 protein. See Methods for details. **g**, Representative views of GCaMP6s expressing 1268 neurons in vagal nodose imaging sessions using Valut2-Cre: Ai96 animals (left) or

1269 Snap25-GCaMP6s animals (right). Scale bar, 100 µm. Similar results were obtained 1270 from multiple animals. h, Comparisons of the fraction of sugar/nutrient responders (left) 1271 or fat-only responders (right), between Vglut2-Cre; Ai96 (Vglut2-G6s, black, n = 22 1272 ganglia) and Snap25-GCaMP6s animals (Snap25-G6s, red, n = 7 ganglia). No 1273 significant differences were found in vagal responses to intestinal delivery of fat or sugar 1274 between the Vglut2-G6s and Snap25-G6s genetic drivers (Two-sided Mann–Whitney U-1275 test, P = 0.29 for sugar/nutrient responders, P = 0.83 for fat-only responders). All values 1276 are mean ± s.e.m.

1277

1278 Extended Data Figure 10: Functional imaging of vagal responses in fat receptor

1279 knockouts

a-f, Functional imaging of vagal neurons in response to intestinal delivery of fat 1280 1281 (10% linoleic acid) and sugar (500 mM glucose) in Snap25-GCaMP6s mice harbouring 1282 various combinations of fat receptor deletions (see text for details). Heat maps show 1283 sugar/nutrient responders (top panels), and fat-only responders (bottom panels). a, control (n = 7 ganglia); **b**, CD36 KO (n = 6 ganglia); **c**, GPR40 KO (n = 7 ganglia); **d**, 1284 1285 GPR120 KO (n = 6 ganglia); e, CD36 & GPR40 double KO (n = 6 ganglia); f, CD36 & GPR120 double KO (n = 8 ganglia). See Fig. 6c for GPR40 & GPR120 double KO and 1286 1287 triple KO heat maps. q. Comparison of vagal responses to intestinal sugar stimuli in all fat receptor knockouts (see Fig. 6 for fat responses). ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test to 1288 1289 WT (n = 7): CD36 KO (n = 6 mice), P = 0.99; GPR40 KO (R40, n = 7 mice), P = 0.87; GPR120 KO (R120, n = 6 mice), P = 0.94; CD36/GPR40 double KO (CD36/R40, n = 61290 1291 mice), P = 0.99; CD36/GPR120 double KO, (CD36/R120, n = 8 mice), P = 1001292 0.96; GPR40/GPR120 double KO (R40/120, *n* = 7 mice), *P* = 0.99; 1293 CD36/GPR40/GPR120 triple KO (3KO, n = 6 mice), P = 0.99. Values are mean \pm s.e.m. 1294 h, Fat receptor knockout animals that cannot transmit the gut-brain signal 1295 (GPR40/GPR120 double knockouts, and the triple knockout) still exhibit normal innate 1296 attraction to fat stimuli. Shown are brief-access (30 min) two-bottle tests for artificial 1297 sweetener (3 mM AceK) versus water (left panel), and fat (1.5% Intralipid, IL) versus 1298 water (right panel). ANOVA with Tukey's test compared to wild type sweet consumption 1299 (n = 9): GPR40/GPR120 double KO (R40/R120): n = 6, P = 0.96;

- 1300 CD36/GPR40/GPR120 triple KO (D36/R40/R120): n = 5, P = 0.26. ANOVA with Tukey's
- 1301 test compared to wild type fat consumption, R40/R120: n = 6, P = 0.25; n = 5,
- 1302 D36/R40/R120: P = 0.98. Two-tailed paired t-test. Values are mean \pm s.e.m.

44





Li et al. Figure 3



Li et al. Figure 4



Li et al. Figure 5







Extended Data Fig. 9

nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Charles S Zuker

Last updated by author(s): Aug 6, 2022

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
\boxtimes		A description of all covariates tested
\boxtimes		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collectionTucker-Davis Technologies Synapse (Version 90-39473P), MicroManager (Version 1.4), Olympus Fluoview (FV10), Arduino IDE (Version
1.8.15), MathWorks Matlab (R2019a, R2019b)Data analysisMathWorks Matlab (R2019a, R2019b), FUI (Version 1.53c), GraphPad Prism 8.4.3

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All data supporting the findings of this study are available upon request.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	Use the terms sex (biological attribute) and gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances) carefully in order to avoid confusing both terms. Indicate if findings apply to only one sex or gender; describe whether sex and gender were considered in study design whether sex and/or gender was determined based on self-reporting or assigned and methods used. Provide in the source data disaggregated sex and gender data where this information has been collected, and consent has been obtained for sharing of individual-level data; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected. Report sex- and gender-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex- and gender-based analysis.
Population characteristics	Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."
Recruitment	Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.
Ethics oversight	Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences Dehavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	Sample size was determined based similar studies in the literature and our experience. No statistical method was used to determine the sample size prior to the study.
Data exclusions	Animals in which post-hoc histological examination showed that viral targeting or the position of implanted fiber were in the incorrect location were excluded from analysis. This exclusion criteria was predetermined.
Replication	We performed multiple independent experiments as noted in the figure legends. Results were reproducible.
Randomization	Stimuli order was random, otherwise in situations as described in the manuscript where no randomization was used, the stimuli were interspersed and repeated among trials.
Blinding	Investigators were not blinded to group allocation, as data analysis was performed automatically with the same scripts executed for each experimental group.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems	Methods
n/a Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study
Antibodies	ChIP-seq
Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry
Palaeontology and archaeology	MRI-based neuroimaging
Animals and other organisms	
Clinical data	
Dual use research of concern	
·	

Antibodies

Antibodies used	anti c-Fos (Synaptic Systems, 226004, Guinea Pig, 1:5000),
Validation	antibodies has been validated extensively, e.g. by immuno-staining on mouse brain sections (Song, et al. Science advances, 52: eaat 3210, (2019)).

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in <u>Research</u> Adult animals 6-24 weeks of age and from both genders were used in experiments. C57BL/6J (JAX 000664), TRAP2 (JAX 030323), Laboratory animals TRPM5 KO (JAX 013068), Ai96 (JAX 028866), Ai162 (JAX 031562), VGlut2-IRES-Cre (JAX 028863), Gpr65-IRES-Cre (JAX 029282), Vip-IRES-Cre (JAX 010908); Uts2b-Cre (JAX 035452); Piezo2-Cre (JAX 027719); Oxtr-Cre (JAX 031303); Calca-Cre (JAX 033168); Snap25-2A-GCaMP6s (JAX 025111), Penk-IRES2-Cre (JAX 025112). Wild animals No wild animals were used. Reporting on sex Animals of both sexes were used in the behavioral and imaging studies, without bias. Field-collected samples No field-collected samples were used. All procedures were carried out in accordance with the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines for the care and use of Ethics oversight laboratory animals, and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Columbia University.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.