**Research Paper** 

International Journal of Medical Sciences

2010; 7(6):366-377 © Ivyspring International Publisher. All rights reserved

# Comparative Efficacy and Tolerability of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> Against Osteoarthritis of the Knee: A Double Blind, Randomized, Placebo Controlled Clinical Study

Krishanu Sengupta<sup>1</sup>, Alluri V. Krishnaraju<sup>1</sup>, Amar A. Vishal<sup>2</sup>, Artatrana Mishra<sup>3</sup>, Golakoti Trimurtulu<sup>1</sup>, Kadainti VS Sarma<sup>4</sup>, Smriti K Raychaudhuri<sup>5</sup>, Siba P Raychaudhuri<sup>5</sup>

- 1. Laila Impex R&D Center, Jawahar Autonagar, Vijayawada, 520 007, India
- 2. Department of Orthopedics, Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences (ASRAM), National Highway 5, Eluru, 534 002, India
- 3. Department of Internal Medicine, Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences (ASRAM), National High way 5, Eluru, 534 002, India
- 4. Department of Statistics, Prakasam Road, SV University, Tirupati, 517 592, India
- 5. Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, School of Medicine, U C Davis and VA Medical Center Sacramento, Hospital Way, Mather, California 95655, USA

🖂 Corresponding author: Siba P Raychaudhuri, sraychaudhuri@ucdavis.edu

Received: 2010.09.01; Accepted: 2010.10.15; Published: 2010.11.01

# Abstract

Aflapin<sup>®</sup> is a novel synergistic composition derived from Boswellia serrata gum resin (Indian Patent Application No. 2229/CHE/2008). Aflapin is significantly better as an anti-inflammatory agent compared to the Boswellia extracts presently available in the market. A 90-day, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study was conducted to evaluate the comparative efficacy and tolerability of 5-Loxin® and Aflapin® in the treatment of osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee (Clinical trial registration number: ISRCTN80793440). Sixty OA subjects were included in the study. The subjects received either 100 mg (n=20) of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> or 100 mg (n=20) of Aflapin<sup>®</sup> or a placebo (n=20) daily for 90 days. Each patient was evaluated for pain and physical functions by using the standard tools (visual analog scale, Lequesne's Functional Index, and Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index) at the baseline (day 0), and at days 7, 30, 60 and 90. A battery of biochemical parameters in serum, urine and hematological parameters in citrated whole blood were performed to assess the safety of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> in OA subjects. Fifty seven subjects completed the study. At the end of the study, both 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin conferred clinically and statistically significant improvements in pain scores and physical function scores in OA subjects. Interestingly, significant improvements in pain score and functional ability were recorded as early as 7 days after initiation of the study in the treatment group supplemented with 100 mg Aflapin. Corroborating the improvements in pain scores in treatment groups, our in vitro studies provide evidences that Aflapin<sup>®</sup> is capable of inhibiting cartilage degrading enzyme MMP-3 and has the potential to regulate the inflammatory response by inhibiting ICAM-I. Aflapin<sup>®</sup> and 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> reduce pain and improve physical functions significantly in OA subjects. Aflapin exhibited better efficacy compared to 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup>. In comparison with placebo, the safety parameters were almost unchanged in the treatment groups. Hence both 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> are safe for human consumption.

Key words: Aflapin<sup>®</sup>, 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup>, Boswellia serrata, anti-inflammation, osteoarthritis and clinical study.

# Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the commonest form of arthritic disease, characterized by articular cartilage degradation with an accompanying peri-articular bone response [1,2]. OA affects nearly 21 million people in the USA, accounting for 25% of visits to primary care physicians. It is estimated that 80% of the population will have radiographic evidence of OA by age 65 years, although only 60% of those will be symptomatic [3]. Clinical manifestations of OA of the knee include pain in and around the joint, stiffness of the joint, crepitation on motion and limited joint motion, among others [4]. Current recommendations for managing OA focus on relieving pain and stiffness and improving physical function as important goals of therapy [5,6]. Currently available medication regimens for most cases include nonopioid analgesics such as acetaminophen and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) including cyclo-oxygenase II inhibitors. These pharmaceutical agents can reduce both pain and inflammation quite effectively, but long term use of NSAIDs has been found to associate with enhanced risk for gastrointestinal bleeding, hypertension, congestive heart failure and renal insufficiency, among other adverse effects [7-9]. Because of the high incidence of adverse events associated with both nonselective and cyclo-oxygenase II selective NSAID therapy, effective and safer alternative treatments for OA are urgently needed. In recent years, the gum resin extracted from the ancient herb, Boswellia serrata has gained lot of attention as a potent anti-inflammatory, anti-arthritic and analgesic agent [10,11]. 3-O-acetyl-11-keto-betaboswellic acid (AKBA) is the most active component of Boswellia extract and has been demonstrated to be a potent inhibitor of 5-lipoxygenase (5-LOX), a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of leukotrienes from arachidonic acid in the cellular inflammatory cascade [12,13]. 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> is a novel *B. serrata* extract enriched AKBA (US Patent publication to 30% no.: 2004/0073060A1). Affimatrix gene chip analysis demonstrated that 5-Loxin® can potently inhibit tumor necrosis factor a (TNFa) induced gene expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), adhesion molecules such as intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1); and mediators of apoptosis in human microvascular endothelial cells [14, 15]. Cell based in vitro studies suggest that 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> can inhibit pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , interleukin-1 $\beta$  [16]. In the carrageenan-induced inflammation model, 5-Loxin® treatment yielded significant improvement in paw inflammation in albino Wistar rats. 5-Loxin® also exhibited significant Anti-arhtritic efficacy in FCA induced model of Sprague-Dawley rats [14, 15]. Extensive acute and dose dependent subchronic safety studies on rats demonstrated that 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> is safe even at dose levels 2,000 to 3,000 times higher than the human equivalence dose [17]. In addition, 5-Loxin® was found to be non genotoxic as per the standard AMES bacterial reverse mutation assay, chromosomal aberration test in Chinese hamster cells and mouse peripheral blood micronucleus assay [18-21] The efficacy and tolerability of 5-Loxin® was assessed in a previous double blind placebo controlled clinical study. The supplementation of 5-Loxin® was well tolerated and its efficacy against osteoarthritis was found to be statistically significant. The dose dependent efficacy of 5-Loxin® was assessed against pain, joint stiffness, mobility and a cartilage degrading enzyme MMP-3 in OA subjects [22]. Aflapin<sup>®</sup> is a novel synergistic composition derived from Boswellia serrata gum resin (Indian Patent Application No. 2229/CHE/2008). Interestingly it was found that the oral bioavailability of AKBA from Aflapin<sup>®</sup> was better compared to that of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup>. Aflapin exhibited better 5-lipoxygenase inhibitory activity and MMP-3 inhibition. Various in vitro and In vivo studies were performed to compare efficacy of Aflapin and 5-Loxin®. These studies proved Aflapin to be more efficacious compared to 5-Loxin® (to be presented in a separate communication). The broad spectrum safety of Aflapin was tested using a battery of safety studies conducted according to OECD guidelines and it was found to be safe [23]. Although a significant number of clinical study reports support the anti-inflammatory and anti-arthritic properties of Boswellia extract [24-27], no human clinical studies were done to prove the efficacy and tolerability of Aflapin in osteoarthritis. Hence in the present clinical study we sought to evaluate the comparative efficacy and tolerability of 5-Loxin® and Aflapin® in the treatment of OA of the knee.

# Materials and Methods

# **Study materials**

BE-30 (5-Loxin<sup>®</sup>) is a novel *Boswellia serrata* extract standardized to contain at least 30 percent 3-O-Acetyl-11-keto- $\beta$ -boswellic acid (AKBA) using a selective enrichment process (Indian patent # 205269). The process involves selective enrichment of AKBA while simultaneously suppressing the concentration of triterpene compounds that are less active and those that antagonize the activity of AKBA. Aflapin is a

novel synergistic composition containing *B. serrata* extract selectively enriched with AKBA and *B. serrata* non-volatile oil. The non-volatile oil was prepared using a special process (PCT application # PCT/IN2009/000505) involving selective removal of Boswellic acids followed by removing volatiles under high vacuum. The composition was standardized to contain at least 20% AKBA.

## Study design

This trial was performed at Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences (ASRAM), Eluru, Andhra Pradesh, India from July 2008 to December 2008 (clinical trial registration number: ISRCTN80793440). The study protocol was evaluated and approved by the ASRAM Institutional Review Board (IRB). An overview of the clinical study is provided in Figure 1. Briefly, 186 subjects out of 283 attending the orthopaedic outpatient department of the ASRAM hospital were selected in the first phase of the screening procedure, based on the signs, symptoms and radiological changes consistent with OA. A total of 60 subjects suffering for more than 3 months with medial tibio-femoral OA were selected using inclusion/exclusion criteria summarized in Table 1. All subjects signed the IRB approved consent form. Subjects, who were otherwise healthy, were aged 40 years or older and had a diagnosis of OA, fulfilling the American College of Rheumatology classification criteria [4]. After recruitment, the subjects were randomly distributed into three groups. The demographic data and baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 2.



**Figure 1:** Flow chart of the subjects who participated in the clinical trial. Evaluations of physical activity and pain scores, serum biochemistry, hematology, and urine biochemistry were done at baseline (day 0) and on days 7, 30, 60 and 90 during follow up.

#### Table I: Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Criteria	Details
Inclusion	Subjects must understand risks and benefits of the protocol and be able to give informed consent
	Male and female subjects aged 40 to 80 years
	Females of child-bearing potential must agree to use an approved form of birth control and to have a negative pregnancy test result
	Unilateral or bilateral osteoarthritis of the knee for more than 3 months
	Visual analogue scale score during the most painful knee movement between 40 and 70 mm after 7 days of withdrawal of usual medication
	Lequesne's Functional Index score greater than 7 points after 7 days of withdrawal of usual medication
	Ability to walk
	Availability for the duration of the entire study period
Exclusion	History of underlying inflammatory arthropathy or severe rheumatoid arthritis
	Hyperuricaemia (>440 µmol/l) and/or past history of gout
	Recent injury in the area affected by osteoarthritis of the knee (past 4 months) and expectation of surgery in the next 4 months
	Intra-articular corticosteroid injections within the preceding 3 months
	Hypersensitivity to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, abnormal liver or kidney function tests, history of peptic ulceration and upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage, congestive heart failure, hypertension, cancer, hyperkalaemia
	Major abnormal findings on complete blood count, history of coagulopathies, hematological or neurological disorders
	High alcohol intake (>2 standard drinks per day)
	Pregnant, breastfeeding, or planning to become pregnant during the study
	Use of concomitant prohibited medication other than ibuprofen
	Obesity (body mass index > 30 kg/m2)

#### Table 2: Demographic data and baseline characteristics of the subjects

Characteristics	Placebo (n = 19)	100 mg/day 5-Loxin® (n = 19)	100 mg/day Aflapin® (n = 19)					
Sex (male/female; n)	9/10	3/16	7/12					
Age (years)	$52.4 \pm 7.5$	51.6 ± 9.9	53.2 ± 7.9					
Body weight (kg)	$62.4 \pm 14.9$	$57.7 \pm 10.5$	59.1 ± 7.4					
Body mass index (kg/m2)	$25.3 \pm 4.4$	$25.1 \pm 3.8$	$25.2 \pm 3.0$					
Visual analog score	$47.7 \pm 6.5$	$48.2 \pm 6.1$	47.7 ± 7.3					
Lequesne's Functional Index	$12.3 \pm 2.8$	$12.4 \pm 2.6$	$12.0 \pm 2.4$					
WOMAC scores								
Pain subscale	$44.7 \pm 11.5$	$46.1 \pm 7.6$	$45.0 \pm 13.3$					
Stiffness subscale	$39.5 \pm 11.2$	39.5 ± 11.2	39.5 ± 13.3					
Function subscale	$42.0 \pm 10.3$	$43.1 \pm 7.8$	$42.0 \pm 8.4$					

Before study enrollment, subjects were required to be taking an NSAID at prescription strength for at least 30 days or acetaminophen 1,200 to 4,000 mg/day on a regular basis (at least 25 of the preceding 30 days) with a history of therapeutic benefit. Eligibility requires subjects to meet specific flare criteria upon medication washout. At screening, subjects had to demonstrate a visual analog scale (VAS) score between 40 and 70 mm during the most painful knee movement, and Lequesne's Functional Index (LFI) score greater than 7 points after 7-day withdrawal of usual medication.

A total of 60 selected subjects with symptoms of moderate to mild OA were recruited into the study. Each subject was randomly assigned to a treatment group using a randomization table generated using validated computer software CODE; IDV, Gauting, Germany. The clinical trial pharmacist and statistician ensured that treatment codes remained confidential. The subjects were distributed into three groups: placebo (n=20); 5-Loxin® group, in which subjects received 50 mg encapsulated 5-Loxin® twice daily (n=20); and Aflapin group, in which subjects received 50 mg encapsulated Aflapin<sup>®</sup> twice daily (n=20). Subjects in the placebo group received two capsules of similar color, taste and appearance but filled with suitable exicipient. Each subject completed a questionnaire, providing details regarding demographics, medical history and nutritional status, at the baseline evaluation and during the follow-up evaluations on days 7, 30, 60 and 90. At the baseline evaluation, and at each visit during the 90-day follow up period, all subjects were assessed for pain and physical function using validated pain scores. Various parameters of serum biochemistry, hematology and urine analysis were carried out on each evaluation day. Safety was monitored by clinical and laboratory assessments conducted during the study visits and subject-reported adverse experiences.

## Functional disability and pain score evaluation

Functional disability was assessed by the investigators at baseline and on each follow-up visit (days 7, 30, 60 and 90). Questionnaire-based assessment of pain, stiffness and physical function were done using the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) index [28], LFI [29] and VAS [30]. The WOMAC index produces scores for three subscales: pain, stiffness and physical function. The pain, stiffness and function subscales of the WOMAC were normalized to a scale of 0 to 100 units (NU) [31]. The pain subscale was the average of the first five questions of WOMAC and measured using the NU scale from 0 ('no pain') to 100 ('extreme pain') for each question. The stiffness subscale was the average of questions 6 and 7, measured using the NU scale from 0 ('no stiffness') to 100 ('extreme stiffness') for each question. The physical function subscale was the average of questions 8 through 24 of the WOMAC and measured by NU scale from 0 ('no difficulty') to 100 ('extreme difficulty') for each question. Analyses of these end-points were based upon the time-weighted average change from baseline over 90 days.

#### Hematological and biochemical evaluations

For assessment of safety of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup>, several parameters were evaluated in serum, urine and whole blood of all subjects at each visit of the study duration. Serum biochemical parameters and hematological parameters were measured using an automated analyzer (HumaStar 300) and a hematological counter (Humacount, Human, Wiesbaden, Germany). The urine analysis was carried out using UroColor<sup>™</sup>10 Dip Sticks and Urometer 600 (Standard Diagnostics, Kyonggi-do, Korea) and by sediment analysis using microscopy.

## In vitro studies to identify mechanisms of actions of Aflapin: Effect on expression of ICAM-1 and MMP3

Adhesion molecule (ICAM-1) expression on endothelial cells: 20,000 Endothelial cell (HDMEC, Lonza Inc., USA) per well in quadruplicate wells were treated with medium, vehicle, TNF $\alpha$  (20ng/ml), TNF $\alpha$  (20ng/ml) with 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> or Aflapin<sup>®</sup> (4µg/ml each) for 24 hour then ICAM-1 ELISA was performed on fixed cells of these wells as per our established protocol [32].

Effect on secretion of MMP3 in TNFa induced human chondrocyte: Human primary Chondrocytes (HCH) was procured from Promo Cell GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany). HCH cells were cultivated in the growth medium (Ready-to-use; Promo Cell, Catalog number C-27101) supplemented with Supplement Mix (Promo Cell, Catalog number C-39635). Equal number of HCH cells was plated in each well of 96-well cell culture plate. Cells were treated with 5 ng/ml of TNFa in presence or absence of different concentrations of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> or Aflapin for 24h. Vehicle control cultures received 0.01% DMSO (v/v). MMP-3 was quantitatively measured in the cell culture supernatant by human MMP-3 EIA kit (R&D Systems, USA) following manufacturer's instructions.

#### **Rescue medication**

Subjects were prescribed ibuprofen 400 mg tablets (maximum 400 mg thrice daily; total 1,200 mg) as rescue analgesia during the study based on pain intensity reported to the study physician by the patient. However, the subjects were instructed not to take medicine at least 3 days before each evaluation. No other pain relieving interventions were allowed during the study period.

## Statistical analysis

Detailed statistical analyses were performed using SAS software to evaluate the efficacy of 5-Loxin® and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> in comparison with the placebo group in terms of improvement in pain and physical function scores at baseline and on days 7, 30, 60 and 90 of treatment and serum MMP-3 levels at baseline and on day 90 of treatment. Pair-wise changes were examined by carrying out a least significant difference test for all possible pairs. The significance of the effects of the treatment groups was compared by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's multiple comparison tests. Results with *P*<0.05 are considered statistically significant. This is a three-arm (5-Loxin®, Aflapin® and placebo), randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, single-centre trial conducted over 90 days. The trial's primary objective was to determine the effects of 5-Loxin® and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> on pain, physical function and joint stiffness. For power calculations, the estimates for variability and assumed mean changes for each treatment group were based on results from previous placebo-controlled studies of celecoxib, etoricoxib and rofecoxib conducted in subjects with OA [33-36]. We believe that an intervention that gives an average improvement of mean change ± 1 standard deviation, rather than mean change alone will provide results of greater significance [37]. Our trial is designed to have more than 80% power to detect a situation in which either active drug dosage yields an improvement to at least mean change  $\pm$  0.9 standard deviation, under a conservative assumption, and we tested differences between groups in mean improvement using ANOVA ( $\alpha$ =0.05, two-sided). With 20 subjects per group, we would have a 93% chance of observing at least one example of any side effect occurring in 10% or more of the patient population at a specific dosage.

# Results

## **Baseline characteristics**

Descriptive statistics comparing demographic variables, baseline disease characteristics and baseline outcome measures (LFI, VAS, WOMAC pain, function and stiffness sub-scores) are provided in Table 2. Overall, the treatment groups receiving 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> 100 mg/day, n=19, Aflapin<sup>®</sup> 100 mg/day, n=19 and placebo n=19, were similar with respect to age, Body Mass Index and pain severity (Table 2). The subjects were randomly distributed into three groups.

## **Clinical efficacy**

We compared the scores between the treatment groups obtained at day 90. Both the treatments with 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> conferred clinically and statistically significant improvements in pain scores and physical ability scores in OA subjects between baseline and day 90 (Table 3). Tukey's multiple comparison test revealed statistically significant improvements by 31.6% (P=0.006), 30.3% (P=0.009) and 42.2% (p=0.006) in VAS, WOMAC pain, and WOMAC stiffness scores, respectively, in the 100 mg 5-Loxin® treated group in comparison with the placebo group (Table 3). Improvements by 18.35% (P=0.060) and 21.25% (P=0.078) in LFI and WOMAC functional ability scores, respectively were also achieved in the 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> group (Table 3). In comparison with the placebo group, the Aflapin® 100 mg treated group also exhibited statistically significant improvements in all parameters tested (Table 3). The Aflapin group showed improvements by 47.3% (P<0.0001), 35.8% (P=0.0004), 61.7% (P<0.0001), 60.1% (P=0.0001) and 49.4% (P=0.0001) in VAS, LFI, WOMAC pain, WOMAC stiffness and WOMAC functional ability scores, respectively. It is worth noting that both 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> treatment groups exhibited improvement in pain scores and physical ability scores as early as 7 days after the start of treatment, and these indices continued to improve throughout the 90 days of treatment (Figure 2). After 7 days, the 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> treatment group exhibited 8.09% (P=0.002), 8.68% (P=0.031) and 8.35% (p=0.015) reductions in VAS, WOMAC pain and WOMAC function respectively, compared with the corresponding baseline scores. After 7 days, the Aflapin treatment group ex-

hibited 12.8% (P=0.0004), 9.17% (P=0.003), 11.78% (P=0.012), 18.48% (P=0.012) and 10.24% (p=0.005) reductions in VAS, LFI WOMAC pain, WOMAC stiffness and WOMAC function scores respectively, compared to the corresponding baseline scores (Figure 2).

**Table 3**: Student's t-test (paired) analyses for comparison of the scores obtained from the Aflapin and 5-Loxin groups at day90

	n	Baseline		Day 90		95% CI (versus pla-	p
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	cebo)	
Visual analogue scale score							
Placebo	19	47.7	6.5	38.3	9.0	34.0, 42.7	0.0013
5-Loxin 100 mg/day	19	48.2	6.1	26.2	16.5	18.2, 34.1	< 0.0001
Aflapin 100 mg/day	19	47.7	7.3	20.2	12.3	14.2, 26.1	< 0.0001
Lequesne's Functional Index							
Placebo	19	12.3	2.8	10.9	3.0	9.4, 12.3	0.0496
5-Loxin 100 mg/day	19	12.4	2.6	8.9	3.7	7.1, 10.7	< 0.0001
Aflapin 100 mg/day	19	12.0	2.4	7.0	2.6	7.1, 9.6	< 0.0001
WOMAC pain subscale							
Placebo	19	44.7	11.5	36.3	10.5	31.2, 41.4	0.0021
5-Loxin 100 mg/day	19	46.1	7.6	25.3	17.2	17.0, 33.6	< 0.0001
Aflapin 100 mg/day	19	45.0	13.3	13.9	8.3	10.0, 17.9	< 0.0001
WOMAC stiffness subscale							
Placebo	19	39.5	11.2	29.6	9.5	25.0, 34.2 p	0.0059
5-Loxin 100 mg/day	19	39.5	11.2	17.1	16.8	9.0, 25.2	0.0001
Aflapin 100 mg/day	19	39.5	13.3	11.8	12.8	5.7, 18.0	< 0.0001

	n	Baseline		Day 90		95% CI (versus pla-	p	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	cebo)		
WOMAC function subscale								
Placebo	19	42.0	10.3	32.0	10.8	26.8, 37.2	0.0025	
5-Loxin 100 mg/day	19	43.1	7.8	25.2	15.0	17.9, 32.4	< 0.0001	
Aflapin 100 mg/day	19	42.0	8.4	16.2	8.1	12.3, 20,1	< 0.0001	

CI, confidence interval; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.



**Figure 2:** Bar diagrams represent the mean scores of (a) visual analog scale (VAS) (**a**); Lequesne's Functional Index (LFI) (**b**); Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC)-pain (**c**); WOMAC-stiffness (**d**); and WOMAC-function (**e**) in placebo, 100 mg/ day 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and 100 mg/day Aflapin<sup>®</sup> groups, respectively. I to 5, represent days of evaluations such as day 0, day 7, day 30, day 60 and day 90, respectively. Each bar represents mean ± standard deviation. In comparison with corresponding baseline data, the change in scores in the treatment groups was tested for significance using Tukey's multiple comparison test; \* p<0.05; \*\* p<0.005.

# Aflapin inhibits secretion of MMP-3 in TNFα-induced human primary chondrocytes

In OA, the loss of collagen from articular cartilage is proportional to the disease severity (38). Under the influence of pro-inflammatory cytokines, increased production and secretion of collagenases such as MMP-3, MMP-13 is the crucial event for enhanced collagen degradation in OA [39]. Therefore, we sought to evaluate whether 5-Loxin and Aflapin can modulate MMP-3 secretion in TNF $\alpha$ , a potent proinflammatory cytokine induced human primary chondrocytes. Figure 3 shows a steep increase in MMP-3 secretion in TNF $\alpha$ -induced chondrocytes and dose-dependent inhibition of MMP-3 secretion in 5-Loxin and Aflapin treated cultures. Interestingly, we observed, Aflapin (IC<sub>50</sub> at 18.5  $\mu$ g/ml) provided (41.36%) better efficacy than 5-Loxin (IC<sub>50</sub> at 31.71 $\mu$ g/ml) in inhibiting MMP-3 secretion from TNFα-induced human chondrocytes.

# Aflapin inhibits ICAM-I expression in activated endothelial cells

OA is a degenerative joint disorder. However, there are migrations of inflammatory cells in the synovial fluid. Adhesion molecule expression on endothelial cells helps in the diapedesis of these cells. Therefore, in order to determine whether 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> treatments can ameliorate the ICAM-1 expression, we evaluated the ICAM levels on HDMEC. **Figure 4** depicts that 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> significantly reduce TNF $\alpha$  induced ICAM-1 expression (p<0.01, student t-test). Interestingly, Aflapin<sup>®</sup>

shows more capability to reduce ICAM-1 secretion than that of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup>.

#### **Biochemical evaluations**

As a part of the safety evaluation, laboratory tests were performed to evaluate different biochemical parameters (serum and urine) and hematological parameters. The significance of the differences between baseline and 90 days was tested by using repeated measures ANOVA. The f ratio is considered significant if P<0.05. Although minor changes were observed in some of the parameters, they remained within the normal laboratory range. Statistical analyses of these parameters did not indicate any significant changes. Similarly, no significant changes in hematological and urinary parameters were observed in the active treatment groups when compared to the placebo (data not shown). These findings further demonstrate the safety of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> in humans.

## Adverse Events and Dropouts

During the course of the 90-day study, no major adverse events were reported. However, acidity was reported as a minor adverse event by two subjects during the study; one each from placebo and Aflapin supplemented groups, respectively.

Three subjects one from each placebo, 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> supplemented groups were dropped out from the study due to their un-availability during the entire study period.



**Figure 3:** Aflapin and 5-Loxin inhibit matrix metalloproteinase-3 secretion from TNF $\alpha$ -induced human primary chondrocytes. Line diagram represents MMP-3 concentrations in the culture supernatants of chondrocytes treated with 5 ng/ml of human recombinant TNF $\alpha$  in presence or absence of different doses of either 5-Loxin or Aflapin as indicated. Vehicle control cultures received 0.01% DMSO. Each data point represents the mean of quadruplicate wells.



**Figure 4:** Aflapin and 5-Loxin inhibit TNF $\alpha$ -induced ICAM-1 expression on human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (HDMEC). Bar diagrams represent the ICAM-1 expression on HDMEC treated with 20ng/ml of human recombinant TNF- $\alpha$  in presence or absence of either 5-Loxin (4µg/ml) or Aflapin (4µg/ml) as indicated. Vehicle control cultures received 0.01% DMSO. Each experiment is done in quadruplicate wells. The results are expressed as the mean±SD of five experiments in quadruplicate wells. 5-Loxin and Aflapin significantly inhibits ICAM-1 expression induced by TNF- $\alpha$  (p<.01, student t-test).

## Discussion

This is the first clinical study to evaluate the efficacy of Aflapin® in OA subjects. Aflapin is a novel synergistic composition comprising AKBA enriched B. serrata extract and non acidic gum extract of B. serrata. In a battery of preclinical studies designed in in vitro cellular models and in vivo animal models, Aflapin exhibited significantly better anti-inflammatory activities in comparison with 5-Loxin® (Data to be presented in a separate communication). 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> is a Boswellia serrata extract standardized to 30% AKBA. Its multidirectional activities related to anti-inflammatory efficacies obtained in appropriate cellular, animal models and in human subjects have established that 5-Loxin® is a potent dietary supplement for the management of inflammatory diseases such as osteoarthritis [14-22]. In a series of experiments designed in *in vitro* cellular and *in vivo* animal models, Aflapin showed significantly better efficacy in comparison with 5-Loxin®. In addition, Aflapin exhibited better AKBA bioavailability than 5-Loxin® in Wistar rat model. Broad spectrum safety of Aflapin was also established in a battery of acute and sub-acute toxicity studies in rat and rabbits. These findings altogether motivated us to evaluate efficacy of Aflapin in comparison with 5-Loxin® against osteoarthritis in human subjects. In the present 90-day clinical study, we assessed the efficacy and tolerability of Aflapin in comparison with 5-Loxin® in OA subjects. Pain, stiffness of joints, reduced joint movement and physical disability are the major clinical manifestations of OA [1,40,41]. Our study demonstrates that

Aflapin potentially improves pain, joint stiffness and physical function in OA subjects (**Figure 2**). In order to check improvements in the treatment groups, we compared the data for all parameters between the baseline and day 90. Paired *t*-test revealed that both treatment groups showed statistically significant improvements in all parameters.

Compared to the placebo, 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> supplementation for 90 days, significantly reduced VAS, WOMAC-pain, WOMAC-stiffness (Table 3), which are consistent with our previous observations [22]. Whereas, Aflapin supplementation for 90 days, resulted in significant reduction in all pain scores tested in comparison with placebo. These findings suggest that Aflapin has better therapeutic efficacy against OA compared to 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup>. We observed that, in comparison with baseline, there were downward trends in VAS score and WOMAC scores in the placebo group. We believe that this might be partly attributable to the placebo effect [42,43] manifested while administering the questionnaires to placebo subjects and partly due to the consumption of ibuprofen as rescue medication by more subjects in the placebo group during the study. It is noteworthy that 5-Loxin® possesses significant efficacy in lowering VAS score by 8.09% (P=0.022), WOMAC pain score by 8.68% (0.031) and WOMAC function score by 8.35% (P<0.015) in OA subjects as early as 7 days after the initiation of treatment. In comparison, Aflapin showed significant reduction in all the pain scores assessed including VAS score by 12.8% (P=0.0004), LFI score by 9.17% (P=0.003), WOMAC pain score by 11.78% (P=0.012), WOMAC stiffness score by 18.48% (P=0.012) and WOMAC function score by 10.24% (*P*=0.005) (**Figure 2**). These findings therefore indicate that 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup> confers prompt and significant pain relief, improvement in physical ability and quality of life in OA subjects. However, Aflapin showed better reduction in all the tested pain scores and hence can be considered superior to 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup>.

Pathogenesis of osteoarthritis is a complex process. These include mechano-transduction, the interplay between metalloproteases (MMP3, MMP13), protease inhibitors and cytokines on cartilage degradation and mechanisms of cartilage repair [40,44,45]. MMP-3 is over-expressed in OA and cause degeneration of cartilage tissue [44,45]. Cytokines act via autocrine and endocrine functions to alter cartilage homeostasis. Interleukin-1 (IL-1) and TNF-a are perhaps the best characterized cytokines for cartilage degradation (46,47). They are synthesized by chondrocytes and FLS. These cytokines act in various ways in the pathogenesis of OA such as inhibition of synthesis of type 2 (articular) cartilage and activation of catabolic metalloproteases including MMP-3 which plays a critical role in cartilage degradation [44,45]. A role of synovitis in OA can't be disregarded either. It is a well established clinical observation that pain and swelling in OA improves for months following intra-articular corticosteroid injection. In addition, histologic studies suggest that localized inflammatory changes characterized by foci of inflammatory cells occur in up to 50% of OA patients [48]. In this study to find out possible mechanism of actions of Aflapin we carried out in vitro studies to evaluate whether Aflapin can inhibit metalloprotease secretion or influence the inflammatory component of osteoarthritis. We observed: (1) Aflapin inhibits TNFa induced MMP-3 secretion in chondrocytes; (2) Aflapin inhibits  $TNF\alpha$ induced expression of ICAM-1 in endothelial cells.

Overall, the foregoing data together demonstrates the better ability of Aflapin compared with 5-Loxin® in terms of reducing the pain, improving physical function, quality of life and joint health. Presumably these improvements might occur through down regulation of cartilage degrading enzymes such as MMP-3 in OA subjects. The present study also demonstrates no major changes in the hematological parameters, serum biochemical parameters and in urine analysis in the treatment groups compared to placebo. In addition, no major adverse effect was reported by the subjects in the treatment groups. Taken together, these observations further demonstrate that 5-Loxin® and Aflapin® are potentially safe in the treatment of OA in humans and more specifically Aflapin® is more efficacious in the management of osteoarthritis than 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup>.

# Conclusion

In summary, the present study provides the evidence in support of the potential efficacy and tolerability of 5-Loxin® and Aflapin® in subjects with OA; 5-Loxin® and Aflapin significantly improved joint function. Aflapin exhibited better therapeutic efficacy over 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> at 100 mg/day; it reduces pain rapidly, as early as after 1 week of treatment. Furthermore, in vitro studies also provide evidences that compared to 5-Loxin, Aflapin is capable of inhibiting cartilage degrading enzyme MMP-3 and has the potential to regulate the inflammatory component in by inhibiting ICAM-1. Most importantly, we have observed that 5-Loxin® and Aflapin® are safe for human consumption, even for long term supplementation. 5-Loxin® and Aflapin® are promising alternative therapeutic options, that may be used as nutritional supplements for management of OA.

# Authors' contributions

KS contributed to the design of the project and data analysis, and was primarily responsible for writing the manuscript. KVA contributed to the design of the project, patient recruitment and management, and data collection. ARS and AM worked with subjects to obtain informed consent, conducted clinical evaluations, took samples and evaluated therapeutic response of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> and Aflapin<sup>®</sup>. TG contributed as the study coordinator and helped to review the manuscript. KVSS and DD helped in clinical data analysis. SMR helped in designing and executing the mechanisms of action studies. SPR helped in designing the study, conducting data analysis and writing the manuscript.

## Abbreviations

AKBA: 3-O-acetyl-11-keto-beta-boswellic acid; ANOVA: analysis of variance; ASRAM: Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences; BMI: Body Mass Index; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; LFI: Lequesne's Functional Index; MMP: matrix metalloproteinase; NSAID: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; NU: normalized units; OA: osteoarthritis; VAS: visual analog scale; WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

## Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Sri G. Ganga Raju, Chairman; Mr. G Rama Raju, Director; and Mr. B. Kiran, CEO of Laila Group of Industries, India for generous support and encouragements. This study was supported by Laila Nutraceuticals, Vijayawada, India.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

## References

- 1. Felson DT. An update on the pathogenesis and epidemiology of osteoarthritis. Radiol Clin. North. Am. 2004; 42:1-9.
- Felson DT, Lawrence RC, Dieppe PA, Hirsch R, Helmick CG, Jordan JM, Kington RS, Lane NE, Nevitt MC, Zhang Y, Sowers M, McAlindon T, Spector TD, Poole AR, Yanovski SZ, Ateshian G, Sharma L, Buckwalter JA, Brandt KD, Fries JF. Osteoarthritis: new insights. Part 1: the disease and its risk factors. Ann Intern Med. 2000; 133: 635-646.
- 3. Green GA: Understanding NSAIDs: from aspirin to COX-2. *Clin Cornerstone* 2001, **3:**50-60.
- Hochberg MC, Altman RD, Brandt KD, Clark BM, Dieppe PA, Griffin MR, Moskowitz RW, Schnitzer TJ. Guidelines for the medical management of osteoarthritis. Part II. Osteoarthritis of the knee. American College of Rheumatology. Arthritis Rheum. 1995; 38: 1541-1546.
- 5. American College of Rheumatology Subcommittee on Osteoarthritis Guidelines. Recommendations for the medical management of osteoarthritis of the hip and knee: 2000 update. Arthritis Rheum. 2000; 43: 1905-1915.
- 6. Pendleton A, Arden N, Dougados M, Doherty M, Bannwarth B, Bijlsma JW, Cluzeau F, Cooper C, Dieppe PA, Günther KP, Hauselmann HJ, Herrero-Beaumont G, Kaklamanis PM, Leeb B, Lequesne M, Lohmander S, Mazieres B, Mola EM, Pavelka K, Serni U, Swoboda B, Verbruggen AA, Weseloh G, Zimmermann-Gorska I. EULAR recommendations for the management of osteoarthritis: report of task force standing committee for International Clinical Studies including Therapeutic Trials (ESCISIT). Ann Rheum. Dis. 2000; 59: 936-944.
- Singh G. Recent considerations in nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug gastropathy. Am. J. Med. 1998; 105: 31S-38S.
- Griffin MR. Epidemiology of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug associated gastrointestinal injury. Am. J. Med. 1998; 104: 23S-29S.
- Wright JM. Double-edged sword of COX-2 selective NSAIDs. CMAJ 2002; 167: 1131-1137.
- Singh GB, Atal CK. Pharmacology of an extract of salai guggal ex-Boswellia serrata, a new non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent. Agents Actions 1986; 18: 407-412.
- Ethan B, Heather B, Theresa DH, Ivo F, Sadaf H, Jens H, David S, Catherine U. *Boswellia*: An evidence-based systematic review by the natural standard research collaboration. J Herbal Pharmacother. 2004; 4: 63-83.
- Safayhi H, Mack T, Sabieraj J, Anazodo MI, Subramanian LR, Ammon HPT. Boswellic acids: novel, specific, nonredox inhibitors of 5-lipoxygenase. J Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1992; 26: 1143-1146.
- Sailer ER, Subramanian LR, Rall B, Hoernlein RF, Ammon HPT, Safayhi H. Acetyl-11-keto-β-boswellic acid (AKBA): structure requirements or binding and 5-lipoxygenase inhibitory activity. Br. J Pharmacol. 1996; 117: 615-618.
- 14. Roy S, Khanna S, Shah H, Rink C, Phillips C, Preuss H, Subbaraju GV, Trimurtulu G, Krishnaraju AV, Bagchi M, Bagchi D, Sen CK: Human genome screen to identify the genetic basis of the anti-inflammatory effects of *Boswellia* in microvascular endothelial cells. DNA Cell Biol. 2005; 24: 244-255.
- 15. Roy S, Khanna S, Krishnaraju AV, Subbaraju GV, Yasmin T, Bagchi D and Sen CK. Regulation of vascular response to inflammation: Inducible Matrix Metalloprotenase-3 expression in Human Microvascular Endothelial Cells is sensitive to an-

ti-inflammatory boswellia. Antioxidant and Redox Signaling. 2006; 8: 653-660.

- 16. Sengupta K, Golakoti T, Marasetti A K, Tummala T, Ravada S R, Krishnaraju AV, Raychaudhuri SP. Inhibition of TNFα Production and Blocking of Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase/NFkB Activation In Lipopolysaccharide-Induced THP-1 Human Monocytes By 3-O-Acetyl-11-Keto-β-Boswellic Acid. J Food Lipids 2009; 16: 325–344.
- Lalithakumari K, Krishnaraju AV, Sengupta K, Subbaraju GV, Chatterjee A: Safety and toxicological evaluation of a novel, standardized 3-O-acetyl-11-keto-β-boswellic acid (AKBA)-enriched *Boswellia serrata* extract (5-Loxin®). Toxicol. Mech. Meth. 2006; 16: 199-226.
- Indrani BK. Bacterial reverse mutation test with 5-Loxin®; Study No.4477/05, Toxicology Department, Advinus Therapeutics Private Limited, Post Box No.5813, Plot Nos 21 & 22, Peenya Industrial Area, Phase II, Bangalore, India 560 058. Bangalore, India: Advinus Therapeutics Private Limited. 2006.
- Chang JT. Micronucleus assay in mice 5-Loxin®; Study number MN00075, Center of Toxicology and Preclinical Sciences, Development Center for Biotechnology, 101, Lane 169, Kangning St, Xizhi City, Taipei County 221, Taiwan. Taiwan: Development Center for Biotechnology. 2007.
- Jung-Ti, Chang. Chromosomal abberation test; Study number CA00094, Center of Toxicology and Preclinical Sciences, Development Center for Biotechnology, 101, Lane 169, Kangning St, Xizhi City, Taipei County 221, Taiwan. Taiwan: Development Center for Biotechnology. 2007.
- Trimurtulu G, Sen CK, Krishnaraju AV, Sengupta K. In: Bagchi D, Lau FC, Bagchi M, eds. Genomic basis of anti-inflammatory properties of *Boswellia* extract: Genomics, Proteomics and Metabolomics in Nutrational and Functional Foods. MA: Wiley-Blackwell. 2010: 155-174.
- 22. Sengupta K, Alluri KV, Satish AR, Mishra S, Golakoti T, Sarma KV, Dey D, Raychaudhuri SP. A double blind, randomized, placebo controlled study of the efficacy and safety of 5-Loxin<sup>®</sup> for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Res. Ther. 2008; 10(4): R85
- 23. Krishnaraju AV, Sundararaju D, Vamsikrishna U, Suryachandra R, Machiraju G, Sengupta K and Trimurtulu G. Safety and Toxicological Evaluation of Aflapin®: a Novel Boswellia- Derived Anti-inflammatory Ingredient. *Toxicol Mechanisms Methods* 2010; in press.
- 24. Joos S, Rosemann T, Szecsenyi J, Hahn EG, Willich SN, Brinkhaus B. Use of complementary and alternative medicine in Germany- a survey of subjects with inflammatory bowel disease. BMC Comp. Altern. Med. 2006; 6: 19.
- Anthoni C, Laukoetter MG, Rijcken E, Vowinkel T, Mennigen R, Muller S, Senninger N, Russell J, Jauch J, Bergmann J, Granger DN, Krieglstein CF. Mechanisms underlying the anti-inflammatory actions of boswellic acid derivatives in experimental colitis. Am. J Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 2006; 290: G1131-G1137.
- Gupta I, Parihar A, Malhotra P, Gupta S, Ludtke R, Safayhi H, Ammon HP. Effects of gum resin of *Boswellia serrata* in subjects with chronic colitis. Planta Med. 2001; 67: 391-395.
- 27. Kimmatkar N, Thawani V, Hingorani L, Khiyani R. Efficacy and tolerability of Boswellia serrata extract in treatment of osteoarthritis of knee: a randomized double blind placebo controlled trial. Phytomedicine 2003; 10: 3-7.
- 28. Bellamy N, Buchnan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to anti-rheumatic drug therapy in subjects with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. J Rheumatol. 1988; 15: 1833-1840.
- 29. Lequesne MG, Mery C, Samson M, Gerard P. Indexes of severity for osteoarthritis of the hip and knee validation-value in

comparison with other assessment tests. *Scand J* Rheumatology 1987; 65: 85-89.

- Chapman CR, Casey KL, Dubner R, Foley KM, Gracely RH, Reading AE. Pain measurement: an overview. Pain 1985; 22: 1-31.
- 31. Bellamy N, Bell MJ, Goldsmith CH, Pericak D, Walker V, Raynauld JP, Torrance GW, Tugwell P, Polisson R. The effectiveness of hylan G-F 20 in subjects with knee osteoarthritis: an application of two sets of response criteria developed by the OARSI and one set developed by OMERACT-OARSI. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2005; 13: 104-110.
- 32. Raychaudhuri SK, Raychaudhuri SP, Weltman H, Farber EM. Effect of nerve growth factor on endothelial cell biology: proliferation and adherence molecule expression on human dermal microvascular endothelial cells. Arch Dermatol Res. 2001; 293(6): 291-295.
- 33. Day R, Morrison B, Luza A, Castaneda O, Strusberg A, Nahir M, Helgetveit KB, Kress B, Daniels B, Bolognese J, Krupa D, Seidenberg B, Ehrich E. A randomized trial of the efficacy and tolerability of the COX-2 inhibitor rofecoxib vs ibuprofen in subjects with osteoarthritis. Arch. Intern. Med. 2000; 160: 1781-1787.
- 34. Gottesdiener K, Schnitzer T, Fisher C, Bockow B, Markenson J, Ko A, DeTora L, Curtis S, Geissler L, Gertz BJ. Results of a randomized, dose-ranging trial of etoricoxib in subjects with osteoarthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2002; 41:1052-1061.
- 35. Bingham CO, Sebba AI, Rubin BR, Ruoff GE, Kremer J, Bird S, Smugar SS, Fitzgerald BJ, O'Brien K, Tershakovec AM. Efficacy and safety of etoricoxib 30 mg and celecoxib 200 mg in the treatment of osteoarthritis in two identically designed, randomized, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority studies. Rheumatology (Oxford).2007; 46: 496-507.
- 36. Reginster JY, Malmstrom K, Mehta A, Bergman G, Ko AT, Curtis SP, Reicin AS: Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of etoricoxib compared with naproxen in two, 138-week randomized studies of osteoarthritis subjects. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2007; 66: 945-951.
- 37. [Internet] Power analysis for ANOVA designs. http://www.math.yorku.cal/SCS/Online/power/
- Pelletier JP, Martel-Pelletier J, Howell DS, Ghandur-Mnaymneh L, Enis JE, Woessner JF Jr: Collagenase and collagenolytic activity in human osteoarthritic cartilage. Arthritis Rheum. 1983; 26: 63-68.
- Poole AR. Arthritis and Allied Conditions: a Textbook of Rheumatology. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins. 1997.
- 40. Garnero P, Rousseau J, Delmas PD. Molecular basis and clinical use of biochemical markers of bone, cartilage and synovium in joint diseases. Arthritis Rheum. 2000; 43: 953-968.
- Felson DT. Osteoarthritis of the knee. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354: 841-848.
- Turner JA, Deyo RA, Loeser JD, Von Korff M, Fordyce WE. The importance of placebo effects in pain treatment and research. JAMA 1994; 271: 1609-1614.
- Walach H, Sadaghiani C, Dehm C, Bierman D. The therapeutic effect of clinical trials: understanding placebo response rates in clinical trials: a secondary analysis. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2005; 5: 26-37.
- 44. Caterson B, Flannery CR, Hughes CE, Little CB. Mechanisms involved in cartilage proteoglycan catabolism. Matrix Biol. 2000; 19: 333-344.
- 45. Little CB, Hughes CE, Curtis CL, Janusz MJ, Bohne R, Wang-Weigand S, Taiwo YO, Mitchell PG, Otterness IG, Flannery CR, Caterson B. Matrix metalloproteinases are involved in C-terminal and interglobular domain processing of cartilage aggrecan in late stage cartilage degradation. Matrix Biol. 2002; 21: 271-288.

- 46. Campbell, IK, Piccolo, DS, Roberts, MJ, et al. Effects of tumour necrosis factor-alpha and beta on resorption of human articular cartilage and production of plasminogen activator by human articular chondrocytes. Arthritis Rheum. 1990; 33: 542.
- 47. Kobayashi M, Squires GR, Mousa A, Tanzer M, Zukor DJ, Antoniou J, Feige U, Poole AR. Role of interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor alpha in matrix degradation of human osteoarthritic cartilage. Arthritis Rheum. 2005; 52(1): 128-135.
- Benito MJ, Veale DJ, FitzGerald O, van den Berg WB, Bresnihan B. Synovial tissue inflammation in early and late osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2005; 64(9): 1263-1267.