

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Demographic and health characteristics associated with fish and n-3 fatty acid supplement intake during pregnancy: results from pregnancy cohorts in the ECHO program

Emily Oken¹, Rasheda J. Musci², Matthew Westlake³, Kennedy Gachigi⁴, Judy L. Aschner^{5,6}, Kathrine L. Barnes⁷, Theresa M. Bastain⁸, Claudia Buss^{9,10}, Carlos A. Camargo, Jr.¹¹, Jose F. Cordero¹², Dana Dabelea¹³, Anne L. Dunlop¹⁴, Akhgar Ghassabian¹⁵, Alison E. Hipwell¹⁶, Christine W. Hockett¹⁷, Margaret R. Karagas¹⁸, Claudia Lugo-Candelas¹⁹, Amy E. Margolis²⁰, Thomas G. O'Connor²¹, Coral L. Shuster²², Jennifer K. Straughen²³, Kristen Lyall²⁴
on behalf of program collaborators for Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes*

¹Division of Chronic Disease research across the Lifecourse, Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²Department of Mental Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

³RTI International, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA

⁴Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA



This is an Accepted Manuscript for Public Health Nutrition. This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its

DOI 10.1017/S136898002400051X

Public Health Nutrition is published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

⁵Department of Pediatrics, Joseph M. Sanzari Children's Hospital, Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine, Nutley, New Jersey, USA

⁶Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA

⁷Marshfield Clinic Research Institute, Marshfield, Wisconsin, USA

⁸Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

⁹Department of Medical Psychology, Charité University of Medicine Berlin, Berlin, Germany

¹⁰Development, Health, Disease Research Program, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA

¹¹Department of Emergency Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA

¹³Lifecourse Epidemiology of Adiposity and Diabetes (LEAD) Center, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, Colorado, USA

¹⁴Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

¹⁵Department of Pediatrics, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

¹⁶Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

¹⁷Avera Research Institute, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, USA

¹⁸Department of Epidemiology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, New Hampshire, USA

¹⁹New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, New York, USA

²⁰Columbia University Irving Medical center, New York, New York, USA

²¹Departments of Psychiatry, Psychology, Neuroscience, Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

²²Brown Center for the Study of Children at Risk, Women and Infants Hospital, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

²³Department of Public Health Sciences, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, Michigan, USA

²⁴AJ Drexel Autism Institute, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

*See Acknowledgments for full listing of collaborators

Corresponding Author: Emily Oken, MD, MPH, Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute, 401 Park Drive, Suite 401 East, Boston, MA 02215; Phone: 617-867-4835; Email: emily_oken@harvardpilgrim.org

ABSTRACT

Objective: Omega-3 (n-3) fatty acid consumption during pregnancy is recommended for optimal pregnancy outcomes and offspring health. We examined characteristics associated with self-reported fish or omega-3 supplement intake.

Design: Pooled pregnancy cohort studies.

Setting: Cohorts participating in the Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) consortium with births from 1999-2020.

Participants: A total of 10,800 pregnant women in 23 cohorts with food frequency data on fish consumption; 12,646 from 35 cohorts with information on supplement use.

Results: Overall, 24.6% reported consuming fish never or less than once per month, 40.1% less than once a week, 22.1% 1-2 times per week, and 13.2% more than twice per week. The relative risk (RR) of ever (vs. never) consuming fish was higher in participants who were older (1.14, 95% CI: 1.10, 1.18 for 35-40 vs. <29 years), were other than non-Hispanic White (1.13, 95% CI: 1.08, 1.18 for non-Hispanic Black; 1.05, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.10 for non-Hispanic Asian; 1.06, 95% CI: 1.02, 1.10 for Hispanic), or used tobacco (1.04, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.08). The RR was lower in those with overweight vs. healthy weight (0.97, 95% CI: 0.95, 1.0). Only 16.2% reported omega-3 supplement use, which was more common among individuals with a higher age and education, a lower BMI, and fish consumption (RR 1.5, 95% CI: 1.23, 1.82 for twice-weekly vs. never).

Conclusions: One-quarter of participants in this large nationwide dataset rarely or never consumed fish during pregnancy, and omega-3 supplement use was uncommon, even among those who did not consume fish.

Keywords: Pregnancy, fish, DHA, omega-3 fatty acid

CRedit Author Statement

Conceptualization: Oken, Lyall, Musci, Westlake

Methodology: Oken, Lyall, Musci, Westlake, Gachigi

Software: Westlake, Gachigi

Validation: Musci, Westlake, Gachigi

Formal analysis: Musci, Westlake, Gachigi

Investigation: Oken, Aschner, Barnes, Bastain, Buss, Camargo, Cordero, Dabelea, Dunlop, Ghassabian, Hipwell, Hockett, Karagas, Lugo-Candelas, Margolis, O'Connor, Shuster, Straughen, Lyall

Resources: Oken, Aschner, Barnes, Bastain, Buss, Camargo, Cordero, Dabelea, Dunlop, Ghassabian, Hipwell, Hockett, Karagas, Lugo-Candelas, Margolis, O'Connor, Shuster, Straughen, Lyall

Data Curation: Westlake

Writing, Original Draft: Oken

Writing, Review and Editing: All

Visualization: Oken

Supervision: Oken, Aschner, Barnes, Bastain, Buss, Camargo, Cordero, Dabelea, Dunlop, Ghassabian, Hipwell, Hockett, Karagas, Lugo-Candelas, Margolis, O'Connor, Shuster, Straughen, Lyall

Project Administration: Oken and Lyall

Funding Acquisition: Oken, Aschner, Barnes, Bastain, Buss, Camargo, Cordero, Dabelea, Dunlop, Ghassabian, Hipwell, Hockett, Karagas, Lugo-Candelas, Margolis, O'Connor, Shuster, Straughen, Lyall

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the unique contributions of Zhumin Zhang, MS, PhD, University of Wisconsin-Madison, to this study, which included investigation, resources, supervision, and funding acquisition.

The authors wish to thank our ECHO colleagues; the medical, nursing, and program staff; and the children and families participating in the ECHO cohorts. We also acknowledge the contribution of the following ECHO program collaborators:

ECHO Components—Coordinating Center: Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina: Smith PB, Newby KL; Data Analysis Center: Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland: Jacobson LP; Research Triangle Institute, Durham, North Carolina: Catellier DJ; Person-Reported Outcomes Core: Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois: Gershon R, Cella D.

ECHO Awardees and Cohorts— Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts: Alshawabkeh, AN; Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY: Teitelbaum SL; Stroustrup A; Cohen Children’s Medical Center, Northwell Health: Stroustrup A; Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island, Providence RI: Koinis Mitchell D, Deoni S; New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, NY: Duarte C; University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, PR: Canino G; Avera Health Rapid City, Rapid City, SD: Elliott A; Kaiser Permanente Northern California Division of Research, Oakland, CA: Ferrara A; University of Wisconsin, Madison WI: Gern J; Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI: Zoratti E; Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, MO: Rivera-Spoljaric K; University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI: Singh A; Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN: Hartert T; University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA: Breton C; Farzan S; Habre R; University of California Davis Mind Institute, Sacramento, CA: Hertz-Picciotto I; University of Washington, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, Seattle, WA: Karr C; University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN: Mason A; Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA: Weiss S; Boston University Medical Center, Boston, MA: O’Connor G; Kaiser Permanente, Southern California, San Diego, CA: Zeiger R; Washington University of St. Louis, St Louis, MO: Bacharier L; University of California, UC Davis Medical Center Mind Institute, Davis, CA: Schmidt R; Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health: Volk H; Kaiser Permanente Northern California Division of Research: Croen L; Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY: Herbstman J; University of Illinois, Beckman Institute, Urbana, IL: Schantz S; University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA: Woodruff T; New York School of Medicine, New York, NY: Trasande L; Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY: Wright R; Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston MA: Bosquet-Enlow M.

Disclaimer

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Financial Support

Research reported in this publication was supported by the Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) program, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health, under Award Numbers U2COD023375 (Coordinating Center), U24OD023382 (Data Analysis Center), U24OD023319 with co-funding from the Office of Behavioral and Social Science Research (PRO Core), UH3OD023251 (Alshawabkeh), UH3OD023320 (Aschner), UH3OD023253 (Camargo), UH3OD023248 (Dabelea), UH3OD023313 (Koinis-Mitchell), UH3OD023328 (Duarte), UH3OD023318 (Dunlop), UH3OD023279 (Elliott), UH3OD023289 (Ferrara), UH3OD023282 (Gern), UH3OD023287 (Breton), UH3OD023365 (Hertz-Picciotto), UH3OD023244 (Hipwell), UH3OD023275 (Karagas), UH3OD023271 (Karr), UH3OD023347 (Lester), UH3OD023268 (Weiss), UH3OD023342 (Lyll), UH3OD023349 (O'Connor), UH3OD023286 (Oken), UH3OD023285 (Kerver), UH3OD023290 (Herbstman), UH3OD023272 (Schantz), UH3OD023305 (Trasande), UH3OD023337 (Wright).

Conflicts of Interest

None of the authors reports a conflict of interest.

Ethical Standards Disclosure

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and participant activities were overseen and approved by both central and site-specific Institutional Review Boards. All participants provided written informed consent.

INTRODUCTION

Omega-3 (n-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are essential nutrients. Adequate consumption is vital in pregnancy, as omega-3 PUFAs, in particular long-chain docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), contribute to offspring neurodevelopment and may improve pregnancy outcomes, including risk for preterm birth.⁽¹⁾ Fish and other seafood (hereafter “fish”) are the main dietary source of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs. Therefore, current guidance recommends intake of 8-12 ounces (224-336 g, or 2-3 servings) of fish per week during pregnancy,^(2,3) with the goal of consuming an average of 200 mg/day of DHA.⁽⁴⁾

Limited research suggests that few pregnant women consume the recommended amounts of fish or omega-3 PUFAs. The latest United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) assessment of dietary fish intake was conducted in 2014 and relied on data sources by then already decades old.⁽⁵⁾ In the 2004 Infant Feeding Practices Study II, the median intake of total fish by pregnant participants, excluding non-fish consumers, was 1.8 ounces/week (about 1 serving per month).⁽⁶⁾ In the 2013 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), mean fish intake by pregnant women was 4.6 servings a month.⁽⁷⁾

Additionally, studies suggest that fish and omega-3 PUFA intake during pregnancy has been declining over past decades, likely in response to federal advisories about mercury in fish since 2001.^(8,9) In the NHANES survey, mean DHA intake among women of childbearing age decreased from 56 mg/day in 2003-2004 to 42 mg/day in 2011-2012.⁽¹⁰⁾ Intake was highest in women who were non-Hispanic White and had higher education and income levels, similar to demographic patterns in non-population-based cohorts.^(11,12) Despite the importance of fish consumption during pregnancy, women consume substantially less than men and do not increase intake during pregnancy.^(7,10,13)

Most experts believe that fish consumption is the optimal way to meet recommendations for adequate omega-3 PUFA intake,⁽¹⁴⁾ in part because experimental evidence has not supported offspring developmental benefits of supplementation.^(15,16) For those who cannot or choose not to eat fish, omega-3 PUFAs supplements are recommended.⁽¹⁷⁾ The extent to which pregnant women take omega-3 PUFA supplements is not well described. In addition, it is unclear whether supplement use is more common in those with low fish intake. In the 2003-2012 NHANES surveys, only 9% of pregnant women consumed an omega-3 PUFA supplement, but this information was not presented according to year of pregnancy or by fish intake.⁽¹⁰⁾

We examined data from the National Institutes of Health Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) program^(18,19) to address our hypotheses that fish consumption would have declined over the past two decades and that supplement use would be more common among those who did not eat fish.

METHODS

Study Design, Sample, and Measures

In October 2022, the ECHO data platform included information on more than 52,000 singleton pregnancies from 69 cohorts across the U.S. and Puerto Rico (Supplementary Figure 1). We included data from 23 cohorts that collected information on fish intake during pregnancy and 35 that collected supplement intake during pregnancy. Within cohorts, pregnancies were included if they had information on either fish intake or supplement use.

Assessment of Fish and Supplement Intake

We performed a keyword search and form review for food frequency questionnaires that assessed fish intake and any questionnaires that assessed supplement intake. For fish intake, we converted relevant questionnaire items and summed as appropriate to weekly total intake. We then constructed a 4-level categorical variable: 1) never or less than once per month, 2) once per month to less than once per week, 3) one to two times per week, and 4) more than twice per week. Additionally, we created a binary variable of never or less than once per month (which we summarize as “never”) vs. more (“ever”). For supplements, we created a binary variable to indicate any (vs. no) use of supplements with fish oil or omega-3 fatty acids.

Assessment of Other Characteristics

We captured other variables of interest from harmonized derived tables of maternal self-reported sociodemographic characteristics, including age, race, ethnicity, education, tobacco or nicotine use during pregnancy (Y/N), and pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), each of which we categorized as in Table 1.

Statistical Analysis

We performed multiple imputation (N=25 imputations) using SAS Proc MI to fill in missing data on covariates of interest. We then performed a log-binomial regression analysis, mutually adjusted for measured demographic characteristics (as in Table 1), smoking status, and pre-pregnancy BMI. We included a random effect for cohort to account for the nested nature of the pooled data and conducted leave-one-cohort-out analyses to confirm that no cohort explained the overall associations. Additionally, we included the number of fish questions asked on the dietary questionnaire (1 or more than 1) given our prior research that found that asking more questions leads to a higher estimate of fish intake.⁽²⁰⁾ Income was not significantly associated with either outcome and including it did not substantially alter any other estimates; therefore, we did not include it in our final models.

RESULTS

Among 10,800 pregnant women with information on fish consumption, 24.6% reported never consuming fish and 75.4% reported ever consuming fish during pregnancy (Table 1): 40.1% less than 1 serving per week, 22.1% 1-2 servings per week, and 13.2% more than 2 servings per week (Supplemental Table 1). In the multivariable regression analyses with imputed missing covariates (Table 1), the likelihood of ever (vs. never) consuming fish during pregnancy remained higher in those who were older (relative risk [RR] 1.14, 95% CI: 1.10, 1.18 for >40 vs. <29 years), were other than non-Hispanic White (RR 1.13, 95% CI: 1.08, 1.18 for non-Hispanic Black; 1.05, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.10 for non-Hispanic Asian; 1.06, 95% CI: 1.02, 1.10 for Hispanic), had lower BMI (RR 0.97, 95% CI: 0.95, 1.0 for overweight vs. normal BMI), and used tobacco or nicotine products (RR 1.04, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.08). After accounting for demographics and the number of fish questions included on the different dietary questionnaires, no differences in fish intake were observed by year of delivery.

Among 12,646 pregnant women with information on omega-3 PUFA supplement intake, 16.2% reported any supplement use. Supplement use was uncommon before 2005 (less than 0.05%), but not substantially different afterward. In multivariable regression analyses (Table 2), supplement use was more likely at an older age and a higher level of education: those over 40 years of age were about twice as likely to use supplements than those less than 29 years of age

(RR 2.01, 95% CI: 1.35, 3.00) and those with a graduate degree were more likely to use supplements than those with less than a high school education (RR 1.71, 95% CI: 1.21, 2.41). Supplement use was less likely among non-Hispanic Black (RR 0.61, 95% CI: 0.48, 0.76) and Hispanic (RR 0.67, 95% CI: 0.55, 0.80) participants compared with non-Hispanic White participants, those who used tobacco or nicotine products (RR 0.81, 95% CI: 0.68, 0.98), and those with a higher BMI (RR 0.79, 95% CI: 0.68, 0.90 for BMI ≥ 30 vs. < 25 kg/m²). In contrast to the advice that those who do not consume fish should take an omega-3 fatty acid supplement, supplement use was highest among those with greater fish consumption (Table 2 and Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

A large number of observational studies and some randomized trials have examined associations of total prenatal fish or omega-3 PUFA intake with a range of outcomes.⁽²¹⁾ Expert opinion has coalesced around the benefits of regular fish or supplement consumption to achieve an intake of at least 500 mg/day of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs (including 200 mg/day of DHA).⁽²²⁾ Using data from over 10,000 pregnancies across the U.S. occurring from 1999 through 2020, we observed that almost a quarter of women reported never consuming fish, and only 16% consumed any omega-3 fatty acid supplements. Additionally, fish intake correlated with demographic and health characteristics, albeit somewhat less strongly and not entirely similar to supplement use. Similar to supplement use, fish intake was higher in those who were older and had a higher income and education, but different from supplements, fish intake was higher in those with racial/ethnic identities other than non-Hispanic White and in those who used tobacco and nicotine products. Supplement intake tracked even more strongly with demographics, with the highest likelihood of intake among those who were older, had a higher education and income, and were non-Hispanic White or Asian. Additionally, supplement use was less common among those at higher risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes as a function of using tobacco or nicotine products or having a higher BMI.

Limited recent information is available about fish intake in U.S. pregnancies, yet such estimates are essential for efforts to model health risks and benefits from nutrients and contaminants commonly found in fish. For example, in the most recent FDA assessment of the net effects of eating commercial fish on fetal neurodevelopment, which was conducted in 2014, the FDA estimated the types and amount of fish that people eat.⁽⁵⁾ This estimation was based on

three sources of data that were collected ~15, ~20, and ~30 years ago: National Marine Fisheries Service market share data on consumable commercial fish from 2007, NHANES data from 1999-2000, and U.S. Department of Agriculture's Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals (CSFII) data from 1989-1991. With these data, the FDA estimated that women of childbearing age in the U.S. consumed a mean of 3.7 and a median of 1.9 ounces of fish per week. It is notable that this model was based entirely on dietary data from non-pregnant persons and assumed that pregnant women eat a similar amount, despite evidence that women consume less fish during pregnancy.^(6,23) Further, fish consumption in women of childbearing age may have decreased since these data were collected.^(8,9)

Evidence to support routine omega-3 supplementation in pregnancy has not been entirely consistent.^(1,24) Nevertheless, those with low baseline fish intake or omega-3 PUFA status,^(25,26) or a BMI that indicates obesity^(27,28) may particularly benefit from supplementation. We did not observe that supplement use was more common in those with low fish intake or a high BMI, but rather the opposite. In another study of ECHO participants, more than 99% of the sample reported use of vitamins and minerals containing supplements during pregnancy, but that analysis did not include omega-3 PUFA supplements.⁽²⁹⁾ In contrast to vitamin and mineral supplement use, which abated most nutrient risk disparities from diet alone, we found that omega-3 PUFA supplement use was less common among those who did not eat fish.

The large sample size is a strength, and we included data from over the past two decades up to 2020. In contrast, published NHANES analyses include about 1,000 pregnancies and a decade of data extending to 2015. Limitations include our inability to assess specific fish types, given the varied dietary assessment instruments used across cohorts, or to assign intake by trimester. However, most prior studies have examined total fish intake, and current US guidelines recommend total fish intake rather than specific subtypes such as "fatty" fish.² Also, although different studies administered different questionnaires, we accounted for the number of questions asked about fish. Additionally, we do not have information on supplement dose. Both fish and supplement intake were self-reported, as is typical in studies of usual diet, and reporting may have been biased.

The ECHO population is nationwide but not necessarily nationally representative,¹⁹ as it draws upon individuals who elected to enroll in cohorts and who may be more health conscious than the general population. The very low fish and omega-3 PUFA supplement intake we

observed may overestimate actual use in all U.S. pregnancies, as more health-conscious persons may consume more fish and supplements, or alternatively, it may be that more health-conscious persons try to avoid mercury exposure from fish. Our results are especially timely given that both the World Health Organization and US National Academies are currently evaluating the evidence on fish intake in pregnancy.^{30,31} Ongoing effective public health advice and resources to support clinicians,^{32,33} are needed to encourage consumption of low-mercury fish during pregnancy and intake of omega-3 supplements among those who do not consume fish.

REFERENCES

1. Middleton P, Gomersall JC, Gould JF, et al. Omega-3 fatty acid addition during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018;11:CD003402. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003402.pub3
2. US Food and Drug Administration. Advice about Eating Fish. *FDA*. Published online June 8, 2022. Accessed July 15, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/food/consumers/advice-about-eating-fish>
3. US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025. 9th Edition. Published December 2020. Accessed August 7, 2022. <https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/resources/2020-2025-dietary-guidelines-online-materials>
4. Koletzko B, Cetin I, Brenna JT, et al. Dietary fat intakes for pregnant and lactating women. *Br J Nutr*. 2007;98(5):873-877. doi:10.1017/S0007114507764747
5. US Food and Drug Administration. *Quantitative Assessment of the Net Effects on Fetal Neurodevelopment from Eating Commercial Fish (as Measured by IQ and Also by Early Age Verbal Development in Children)*; 2014. Accessed August 7, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodborneIllnessContaminants/Metals/ucm393211.htm>
6. Lando AM, Fein SB, Choinière CJ. Awareness of methylmercury in fish and fish consumption among pregnant and postpartum women and women of childbearing age in the United States. *Environ Res*. 2012;116:85-92. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2012.04.002

7. Thompson M, Hein N, Hanson C, et al. Omega-3 Fatty Acid Intake by Age, Gender, and Pregnancy Status in the United States: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003–2014. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(1):E177. doi:10.3390/nu11010177
8. Oken E, Kleinman KP, Berland WE, et al. Decline in fish consumption among pregnant women after a national mercury advisory. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;102(2):346-351. doi:10.1016/s0029-7844(03)00484-8
9. Shimshack JP, Ward MB. Mercury advisories and household health trade-offs. *J Health Econ*. 2010;29(5):674-685. doi:10.1016/j.jhealeco.2010.05.001
10. Nordgren TM, Lyden E, Anderson-Berry A, et al. Omega-3 Fatty Acid Intake of Pregnant Women and Women of Childbearing Age in the United States: Potential for Deficiency? *Nutrients*. 2017;9(3):197. doi:10.3390/nu9030197
11. Donahue SMA, Rifas-Shiman SL, Olsen SF, et al. Associations of maternal prenatal dietary intake of n-3 and n-6 fatty acids with maternal and umbilical cord blood levels. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids*. 2009;80(5-6):289-296. doi:10.1016/j.plefa.2009.02.007
12. Oken E, Østerdal ML, Gillman MW, et al. Associations of maternal fish intake during pregnancy and breastfeeding duration with attainment of developmental milestones in early childhood: a study from the Danish National Birth Cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;88(3):789-796. doi:10.1093/ajcn/88.3.789
13. Murphy RA, Devarshi PP, Ekimura S, et al. Long-chain omega-3 fatty acid serum concentrations across life stages in the USA: an analysis of NHANES 2011-2012. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11(5):e043301. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-043301
14. Kris-Etherton PM, Innis S, American Dietetic Association, Dietitians of Canada. Position of the American Dietetic Association and Dietitians of Canada: dietary fatty acids. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2007;107(9):1599-1611.
15. Nevins JEH, Donovan SM, Snetselaar L, et al. Omega-3 Fatty Acid Dietary Supplements Consumed During Pregnancy and Lactation and Child Neurodevelopment: A Systematic Review. *J Nutr*. 2021;151(11):3483-3494. doi:10.1093/jn/nxab238

16. Gould JF, Roberts RM, Makrides M. The Influence of Omega-3 Long-Chain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid, Docosahexaenoic Acid, on Child Behavioral Functioning: A Review of Randomized Controlled Trials of DHA Supplementation in Pregnancy, the Neonatal Period and Infancy. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(2):415. doi:10.3390/nu13020415
17. Adams JB, Kirby JK, Sorensen JC, et al. Evidence based recommendations for an optimal prenatal supplement for women in the US: vitamins and related nutrients. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol*. 2022;8:4. doi:10.1186/s40748-022-00139-9
18. LeWinn KZ, Caretta E, Davis A, et al., program collaborators for Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes. SPR perspectives: Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) Program: overcoming challenges to generate engaged, multidisciplinary science. *Pediatr Res*. Published online June 15, 2021. doi:10.1038/s41390-021-01598-0
19. Knapp EA, Kress AM, Parker CB, et al. The Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO)-wide Cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*. Published online March 24, 2023:kwad071. doi:10.1093/aje/kwad071
20. Oken E, Guthrie LB, Bloomingdale A, et al. Assessment of dietary fish consumption in pregnancy: comparing one-, four- and thirty-six-item questionnaires. *Public Health Nutr*. 2014;17(9):1949-1959. doi:10.1017/S1368980013001985
21. Oken E. Fresh fish findings? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2020;112(5):1149-1150. doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqaa249
22. Makrides M. ω -3 Fatty Acids in Pregnancy: Time for Action. *J Nutr*. 2019;149(4):549-550. doi:10.1093/jn/nxy309
23. Bloomingdale A, Guthrie LB, Price S, et al. A qualitative study of fish consumption during pregnancy. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010;92(5):1234-1240. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2010.30070
24. Saccone G, Saccone I, Berghella V. Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and fish oil supplementation during pregnancy: which evidence? *J Matern-Fetal Neonatal Med Off J Eur Assoc Perinat Med Fed Asia Ocean Perinat Soc Int Soc Perinat Obstet*. 2016;29(15):2389-2397. doi:10.3109/14767058.2015.1086742

25. Bisgaard H, Stokholm J, Chawes BL, et al. Fish Oil-Derived Fatty Acids in Pregnancy and Wheeze and Asthma in Offspring. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(26):2530-2539. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1503734
26. Simmonds LA, Sullivan TR, Skubisz M, et al. Omega-3 fatty acid supplementation in pregnancy-baseline omega-3 status and early preterm birth: exploratory analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2020;127(8):975-981. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.16168
27. Rasool A, Alvarado-Flores F, O'Tierney-Ginn P. Placental Impact of Dietary Supplements: More Than Micronutrients. *Clin Ther.* 2021;43(2):226-245. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.11.017
28. Satokar VV, Cutfield WS, Cameron-Smith D, et al. Omega-3 fats in pregnancy: could a targeted approach lead to better metabolic health for children? *Nutr Rev.* 2021;79(5):574-584. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuaa071
29. Sauder KA, Harte RN, Ringham BM, et al. Disparities in Risks of Inadequate and Excessive Intake of Micronutrients during Pregnancy. *J Nutr.* 2021;151(11):3555-3569. doi:10.1093/jn/nxab273
30. Call for experts for Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on the Risks and Benefits of Fish Consumption. Accessed July 26, 2023. <https://www.who.int/news-room/articles-detail/call-for-experts-for-joint-fao-who-expert-consultation-on-the-risks-and-benefits-of-fish-consumption>
31. The Role of Seafood in Child Growth and Development | National Academies. Accessed July 26, 2023. <https://www.nationalacademies.org/our-work/the-role-of-seafood-in-child-growth-and-development>
32. Judge MP, Lucas R, Kuzoian C, et al. Needs Assessment to Inform and Improve Educational Practices Surrounding Omega-3 Consumption during Pregnancy. *Matern Child Health J.* 2021;25(2):192-197. doi:10.1007/s10995-020-03090-3
33. Ziegenfuss JY, Dinh JM, McCann P, et al. Behaviors, Beliefs, and Recommendations to Optimize Promotion of Safe Fish Consumption Before and During Pregnancy: A Physician Survey. *J Prim Care Community Health.* 2022;13:21501319221126980. doi:10.1177/21501319221126980

Table 1: Characteristics of 10,800 ECHO-wide cohort participants with information on fish consumption during pregnancy

	N (%)*	% ever consuming fish	Unadjusted relative risk for ever consuming fish (95% CI)	Adjusted** relative risk for ever consuming fish (95% CI)
All	10800	75.4%		
Age				
<18–28 years	3828 (35.4%)	71.4%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
29–34 years	4237 (39.2%)	75.4%	1.08 (1.04, 1.13)	1.06 (1.03, 1.09)
35–40 years	2431 (22.5%)	81.2%	1.18 (1.11, 1.25)	1.14 (1.09, 1.19)
>40 years	304 (2.8%)	81.9%	1.17 (1.12, 1.23)	1.14 (1.1, 1.18)
Race/ethnicity				
Non-Hispanic White	5325 (49.3%)	72.5%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
Non-Hispanic Black	1919 (17.8%)	84.3%	1.08 (1.05, 1.12)	1.13 (1.08, 1.18)
Non-Hispanic Asian	665 (6.2%)	83.0%	1.06 (0.99, 1.12)	1.05 (1.01, 1.10)
Hispanic	2283 (21.1%)	73.7%	0.99 (0.93, 1.04)	1.06 (1.02, 1.10)
Non-Hispanic Other Race	608 (5.6%)	72.0%	1.01 (0.91, 1.12)	1.06 (0.99, 1.14)
Education				
Less than high school	663 (6.1%)	76.0%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
High school graduate, GED, or equivalent	2002 (18.5%)	74.5%	1.0 (0.93, 1.08)	0.99 (0.93, 1.05)
Some college, no degree, associate degree/trade school	2648 (24.5%)	72.6%	1.01 (0.92, 1.12)	1 (0.93, 1.07)
Bachelor's degree (BA, BS)	3142 (29.1%)	76.4%	1.08 (0.98, 1.20)	1.04 (0.97, 1.12)
Master's, professional, or doctoral Degree	2345 (21.7%)	78.0%	1.1 (0.98, 1.24)	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)				
<25	4786 (44.3%)	77.0%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)

25-29.9	3309 (30.6%)	74.4%	0.97 (0.94, 0.99)	0.97 (0.95, 1.0)
≥30	2705 (25.0%)	74.0%	0.98 (1.02)	0.98 (0.95, 1.02)
Tobacco or nicotine product use during pregnancy				
No	10209 (94.5%)	75.4%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
Yes	591 (5.5%)	76.8%	1.0 (0.94, 1.05)	1.04 (1.01, 1.08)
Year of delivery				
1999-2004	1161 (10.8%)	88.0%	1.32 (1.45)	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)
2005-2009	942 (8.7%)	83.3%	1.11 (1.25)	1.03 (0.98, 1.09)
2010-2014	3182 (29.5%)	80.4%	1.11 (1.01,1.22)	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)
2015+	5515 (51.1%)	68.6%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
Number of fish questions on questionnaire				
1	3712 (34.4%)	62.5%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
More than 1	7088(65.6%)	82.2%	1.27 (1.05,1.54)	1.24 (1.06,1.47)

*Results from dataset with missing values imputed using multiple imputation for age (n=1049, 9.7%), race/ethnicity (n=586, 5.4%), education (n=1048, 9.7%), pre-pregnancy BMI (n=2525, 23.4%), and tobacco or nicotine use (n=2650, 24.5%).

**Relative risk from regression model, including all covariates in the table, with missing values imputed using multiple imputation.

BA, Bachelor of Arts; BMI, body mass index; BS, Bachelor of Science; CI, confidence interval; ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes; GED, General Educational Development.

Table 2: Characteristics of 12,646 ECHO-wide cohort participants with information on omega-3 fatty acid supplement consumption during pregnancy

Characteristic	N (%)*	% using supplements	Unadjusted relative risk for ever using supplements (95% CI)	Adjusted** relative risk for ever using supplements (95% CI)
All	12646	16.2%		
Age at delivery				
<18–28 years	4879 (38.6%)	10.8%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
29–34 years	4676 (37.0%)	17.8%	1.83 (1.49, 2.25)	1.29 (1.06, 1.56)
35–40 years	2696 (21.3%)	21.7%	2.19 (1.71, 2.79)	1.42 (1.15, 1.75)
41+ years	395 (3.1%)	26.8%	2.60 (1.91, 3.55)	2.01 (1.35, 3.0)
Race and ethnicity				
Non-Hispanic White	6315 (49.9%)	19.8%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
Non-Hispanic Black	2233 (17.7%)	10.7%	0.42 (0.30, 0.58)	0.61 (0.48, 0.76)
Non-Hispanic Asian	570 (4.5%)	26.8%	1.03 (0.90, 1.17)	0.99 (0.78, 1.26)
Hispanic	3016 (23.9%)	10.4%	0.38 (0.26, 0.55)	0.67 (0.55, 0.80)
Other Race	512 (4.1%)	18.4%	0.82 (0.68, 1.0)	0.94 (0.74, 1.21)
Education				
Less than high school	1016 (8.0%)	7.4%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
High school degree, GED, or equivalent	2709 (21.4%)	10.4%	1.67 (1.01, 2.77)	1.15 (0.88, 1.49)
Some college, no degree, associate's degree/trade school	2757 (21.8%)	12.4%	2.66 (1.43, 4.96)	1.5 (1.08, 2.09)
Bachelor's degree (BA/BS)	3386 (26.8%)	19.3%	4.14 (1.99, 8.61)	1.63 (1.14, 2.33)
Master's, professional, or doctorate degree	2778 (22%)	25.1%	5.05 (2.44, 10.45)	1.71 (1.21, 2.41)
Pre-pregnancy body mass index (kg/m ²)				
<25	6016 (47.6%)	18.9%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
25.0–29.9	3665 (29.0%)	14.6%	0.77 (0.7, 0.84)	0.93 (0.81, 1.06)

>=30	2965 (23.5%)	12.7%	0.62 (0.52, 0.76)	1.08) 0.79 (0.68, 0.90)
Tobacco or nicotine use during pregnancy				
No	11863 (93.8%)	16.6%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
Yes	783 (6.2%)	10.6%	0.69 (0.56, 0.85)	0.81 (0.67, 0.98)
Year of delivery				
1999-2004	1266 (10%)	<0.05%	0.02 (0.02, 0.03)	0.03 (0.02, 0.04)
2005-2009	940 (7.4%)	>20%	1.36 (0.80, 2.33)	0.98 (0.79, 1.21)
2010-2014	4634 (36.6%)	17.8%	1.33 (0.78, 2.27)	0.93 (0.85, 1.02)
2015+	5806 (45.9%)	17.8%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
Fish intake during pregnancy				
No data	5504 (43.5%)	20.0%		
Never	1579 (12.5%)	11.0%	1.0 (Reference)	1.0 (Reference)
Less than once per week	2644 (20.9%)	13.7%	1.31 (1.14, 1.51)	1.27 (1.17, 1.38)
1-2 x per week	1812 (14.3%)	13.9%	1.48 (1.32, 1.65)	1.37 (1.25, 1.50)
More than 2x per week	1107 (8.8%)	14.7%	1.58 (1.27, 1.98)	1.5 (1.23, 1.82)

*Results from dataset with missing values imputed using multiple imputation for age (n=966, 7.6%), race/ethnicity (n=338, 2.7%), education (n=468, 3.7%), pre-pregnancy BMI (n=2351, 18.6%), and tobacco or nicotine use (n=1229, 9.7%).

**Relative risk from regression model, including all covariates in the table, with missing values imputed using multiple imputation.

BA, Bachelor of Arts; BMI, body mass index; BS, Bachelor of Science; CI, confidence interval; ECHO, Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes; GED, General Educational Development.




Figure 1: Likelihood of omega-3 polyunsaturated supplement use in pregnancy according to fish consumption during pregnancy within the Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO) cohort.